We study continued logarithms as introduced by Bill Gosper and studied by J. Borwein et. al.. After providing an overview of the type I and type II generalizations of binary continued logarithms introduced by Borwein et. al., we focus on a new generalization to an arbitrary integer base $b$. We show that all of our so-called type III continued logarithms converge and all rational numbers have finite type III continued logarithms. As with simple continued fractions, we show that the continued logarithm terms, for almost every real number, follow a specific distribution. We also generalize Khinchine’s constant from simple continued fractions to continued logarithms, and show that these logarithmic Khinchine constants have an elementary closed form. Finally, we show that simple continued fractions are the limiting case of our continued logarithms, and briefly consider how we could generalize past continued logarithms.

1 Introduction

Continued fractions, especially simple continued fractions, have been well studied throughout history. Continued binary logarithms, however, appear to have first been introduced by Bill Gosper in his appendix on Continued Fraction Arithmetic [4]. More recently in [2], J. Borwein et. al. proved some basic results about binary continued logarithms and applied experimental methods to determine the term distribution of binary continued logarithms. They conjectured and indicated a proof that, like in the case of continued fractions, almost every real number has continued logarithm terms that follow a specific distribution. They then introduced two different generalizations of binary continued logarithms to arbitrary bases.

1.1 The Structure of This Paper

Section 1 introduces some basic definitions and results for continued fractions, briefly describes binary continued logarithms as introduced by Gosper, and provides an overview...
of results relating to the Khinchine constant for continued fractions. Sections 2 and 3 then provide an overview of the type I and type II continued logarithms introduced by Borwein et. al.. Further details on these can be found in [2].

Section 4 comprises the main body of the paper. In Section 4.1 we define type III continued logarithms and extend to them the standard continued fraction recurrences. Section 4.2 then proves that type III continued logarithms are guaranteed to converge to the correct value, and that every rational number has a finite type III continued logarithm. These are two desirable properties of continue fractions and binary continued logarithms that a complete generalization should have. In Section 4.3 we describe how measure theory can be used to investigate the distribution of continued logarithm terms. This is then applied in Section 4.4 to determine the distribution, and Section 4.5 to determine the logarithmic Khinchine constant. The main proofs of these sections are quite technical, and are separated out into Appendices A and B, respectively. Finally, Section 4.6 derives some relationships between simple continued fractions and the limiting case of type III continued logarithms.

Finally, we close the paper in Section 5 by briefly introducing one way to generalize past continued logarithms.

1.2 Continued Fractions
The material in this section can be found in many places including [3].

Definition 1. A continued fraction is an expression of the form

\[ y_1 = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2 + \frac{\beta_3}{\alpha_3 + \cdots}}} \]

or

\[ y_2 = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2 + \frac{\beta_3}{\alpha_3 + \cdots} + \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}}} \]

For the sake of simplicity, we will sometimes denote the above as

\[ y_1 = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} + \cdots \]

or

\[ y_2 = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} + \cdots + \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} \]

respectively. The terms \( \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots \) are called denominator terms and the terms \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots \) are called numerator terms.

Definition 2. Two continued fractions

\[ y = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} + \cdots \]

and

\[ y' = \alpha_0' + \frac{\beta'_1}{\alpha'_1} + \frac{\beta'_2}{\alpha'_2} + \cdots \]
are called equivalent if there is a sequence \((d_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\) with \(d_0 = 1\) such that \(\alpha' = d_n\alpha_n\) for all \(n \geq 0\) and \(\beta' = d_n d_{n-1} \beta_n\) for all \(n \geq 1\).

The \(c_n\) terms can be thought of as constants that are multiplied by both numerators and denominators of successive terms.

**Definition 3.** The \(n\)th convergent of the continued fraction

\[
y = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} + \cdots
\]

is given by

\[
x_n = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} + \cdots + \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}
\]

**Definition 4.** The \(n\)th remainder term of the continued fraction

\[
y = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} + \cdots
\]

is given by

\[
r_n = \alpha_n + \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} + \frac{\beta_{n+2}}{\alpha_{n+2}} + \cdots
\]

The following results will be useful for generalizing to continued logarithms.

**Fact 1.** Suppose \(x = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} + \cdots\), where \(\alpha_n, \beta_n > 0\) for all \(n\). Then the convergents are given by

\[
x_n = \frac{p_n}{q_n}
\]

where

\[
p_{-1} = 1, \quad q_{-1} = 0, \quad p_0 = \alpha_0, \quad q_0 = 1,
\]

\[
p_n = \alpha_n p_{n-1} + \beta_n p_{n-2} \quad n \geq 1,
\]

\[
q_n = \alpha_n q_{n-1} + \beta_n q_{n-2} \quad n \geq 1.
\]

**Fact 2.** Suppose \(x = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} + \cdots\) where \(\alpha_n, \beta_n > 0\) for all \(n\). Then the continued fraction for \(x\) converges to \(x\) if \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n \alpha_{n+1}}{\beta_n + 1} = \infty\).

**Remark 1.** Throughout this paper, we will use \(M(A)\) or just \(MA\) to denote the Lebesgue measure of a set \(A \subseteq \mathbb{R}\).

### 1.3 Binary Continued Logarithms

Let \(1 \leq \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\). Let \(y_0 = \alpha\) and recursively define \(a_n = \lfloor \log_2 y_n \rfloor\). If \(y_n - 2^{a_n} = 0\), then terminate. Otherwise, set

\[
y_{n+1} = \frac{2^{a_n}}{y_n - 2^{a_n}}
\]
and recurse. This produces the binary (base 2) continued logarithm for $y_0$:

$$y_0 = 2^{a_0} + \frac{2^{a_0}}{2^{a_1}} + \frac{2^{a_1}}{2^{a_2}} + \frac{2^{a_2}}{2^{a_3}} + \cdots$$

These binary continued logarithms were introduced explicitly by Gosper in his appendix on Continued Fraction Arithmetic [4]. Borwein et. al. studied binary continued logarithms further in [2], extending classical continued fraction recurrences for binary continued logs and investigating the distribution of aperiodic binary continued logarithm terms for quadratic irrationals – such as cannot occur for simple continued fractions.

**Remark 2.** Jeffrey Shallit [7] proved some limits on the length of a finite binary continued logarithm. Specifically, the binary continued logarithm for a rational number $p/q \geq 1$ has at most $2 \log_2 p + O(1)$ terms. Furthermore, this bound is tight, as can be seen by considering the continued fraction for $2^n - 1$. Moreover, the sum of the terms of the continued logarithm of $p/q \geq 1$ is bounded by $(\log_2 p)(2 \log_2 p + 2)$.

### 1.4 Khinchine’s Constant

In [5], Khinchine proved that for almost every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, where

$$\alpha = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{a_1} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{a_2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{a_3} \right\rfloor + \cdots,$$

the denominator terms $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots$ follow a specific limiting distribution. That is, let $P_\alpha(k) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \{n \leq N : a_n = k\} \right|$. This is the limiting ratio of the denominator terms that equal $k$, if this limit exists. Then for almost every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$P_\alpha(k) = \frac{\log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k(k+2)} \right)}{\log 2}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It then follows for almost every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ that the limiting geometric average of the denominator terms is given by

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r(r+2)} \right)^{\log_2 r} \approx 2.685452.$$  

This constant is now known as Khinchine’s constant, $\mathcal{K}$.

### 2 Type I Continued Logarithms

#### 2.1 Type I Definition and Preliminaries

Fix an integer base $b \geq 2$. We define type I continued logarithms as follows.

**Definition 5.** Let $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$. The base $b$ continued logarithm of type I for $\alpha$ is

$$\left[ b^{a_0} + \frac{(b-1)b^{a_0}}{b^{a_1}} + \frac{(b-1)b^{a_1}}{b^{a_2}} + \frac{(b-1)b^{a_2}}{b^{a_3}} + \cdots \right]_{\text{cl}_1(b)},$$
where the terms \(a_0, a_1, a_2 \ldots\) are determined by the recursive process below, terminating at the term \(b^n\) if at any point \(y_n = b^n\).

\[
\begin{align*}
y_0 &= \alpha \\
a_n &= \lfloor \log_b y_n \rfloor \\
y_{n+1} &= \frac{(b-1)b^n}{y_n - b^n} \quad n \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The numerator terms \((b-1)b^n\) are defined as such to ensure that \(y_n \in (1, \infty)\) for all \(n\). Indeed, notice that for each \(n\), we must have \(b^n \leq y_n < b^{n+1}\). Thus \(0 \leq y_n - b^n < (b-1)b^n\). If \(y_n - b^n = 0\), then we terminate, otherwise we get \(0 < y_n - b^n < (b-1)b^n\), so \(y_{n+1} = \frac{(b-1)b^n}{y_n - b^n} \in (1, \infty)\).

Borwein et al. proved that the type I continued fraction of \(\alpha \in (1, \infty)\) will converge to \(\alpha\) [2, Theorem 15]. Additionally, numbers with finite type I continued logarithms must be rational. However for \(b \geq 3\), rationals need not have finite continued logarithms. For example, the type I ternary continued logarithm for 2 is \([3^0, 3^0, 3^0, \ldots]_{cl_1(3)}\).

### 2.2 Distribution of Type I Continued Logarithm Terms and

**Type I Logarithmic Khinchine Constant**

We now look at the limiting distribution of the type I continued logarithm terms. Consider \(\alpha = [b^{a_0}, b^{a_1}, b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{cl_1(b)}\). Assume that the continued logarithm for \(\alpha\) is infinite. Furthermore, assume (without loss of generality) that \(a_0 = 0\), so that \(\alpha \in (1, b)\).

**Definition 6.** For \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), let

\[D_n(k) = \{ \alpha \in (1, b) : a_n = k \}\]

denote the set of \(\alpha \in (1, b)\) for which the \(n\)th continued logarithm term is \(b^k\).

**Definition 7.** Let \(x = [1, b^{a_1}, b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{cl_1(b)} \in (1, b)\). The \(n\)th remainder term of \(x\) is \(r_n = r_n(x) = [b^{a_n}, b^{a_{n+1}}, \ldots]_{cl_1(b)}\), as in Definition 4. Define

\[
z_n = z_n(x) = r_n / b^{a_n} = [1, b^{a_{n+1}}, b^{a_{n+2}}, \ldots]_{cl_1(b)} \in (1, b),
\]

\[M_n(x) = \{ \alpha \in (1, b) : z_n(\alpha) < x \} \subseteq (1, b),\]

\[m_n(x) = \frac{1}{b-1} \mathcal{M}(M_n(x)) \in (0, 1),\]

and

\[m(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m_n(x),\]

wherever this limit exists.

Notice that since \(1 < z_n(\alpha) < b\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(\alpha \in (1, b)\), we must have \(m_n(1) = 0\) and \(m_n(b) = 1\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). We can now derive a recursion for the functions \(m_n\).

**Theorem 1.** The sequence of functions \(m_n\) is given by the recursive relationship

\[
m_0(x) = \frac{x-1}{b-1}
\]

\[
m_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{n-1}(1 + (b-1)b^{-k}) - m_{n-1}(1 + x^{-1}(b-1)b^{-k}) \quad n \geq 1
\]

for \(1 \leq x \leq b\).
The proof of this is similar to that of Theorem 16.

We next derive a formula for \( D_n(k) \) in terms of the function \( m_n \).

**Theorem 2.**

\[
\frac{1}{b-1} \mathcal{M}(D_{n+1}(k)) = m_n(1 + (b - 1)b^{-k}) - m_n(1 + (b - 1)b^{-(k+1)}).
\]

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 19. Thus, if the limiting distribution \( m(x) \) exists, it immediately follows that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b-1} \mathcal{M}(D_n(k)) = m(1 + (b - 1)b^{-k}) - m(1 + (b - 1)b^{-(k+1)}). \tag{3}
\]

### 2.3 Experimentally Determining the Type I Distribution

Now suppose \( b > 1 \) is an arbitrary integer. Let \( \mu_b \) denote the limiting distribution function \( m \) for the base \( b \), assuming it exists.

We may investigate the form of \( \mu_b(x) \) by iterating the recurrence relation of Theorem 1 at points evenly spaced over the interval \([1, b]\), starting with \( m_0(x) = \frac{x-1}{b-1} \). At each iteration, we fit a spline to these points, evaluating each “infinite” sum to 100 terms, and breaking the interval \([1, b]\) into 100 pieces. This is practicable since the continued logarithm converges much more rapidly than the simple continued fraction.

We find good convergence of \( \mu_b(x) \) after around 10 iterations. We use the 101 data points from this process to seek the best fit to a function of the form

\[
\mu_b(x) = C \log_b \frac{\alpha x + \beta}{\gamma x + \delta}.
\]

We set \( \gamma = 1 \) to eliminate any common factor between the numerator and denominator. To meet the boundary condition \( \mu_b(1) = 0 \), we must have \( \delta = \alpha + \beta - 1 \), and to meet the boundary condition \( \mu_b(b) = 1 \), we must have \( C = \frac{1}{\log_b \frac{b\alpha + \beta}{a + \beta b - 1}} \), leaving the functional form to be fit as

\[
\mu_b(x) = \frac{\log_b \frac{x + \alpha + \beta}{b\alpha + \beta - 1}}{\log_b \frac{\alpha + \beta b - 1}{b\alpha + \beta - 1}}. \tag{4}
\]

We sought this superposition form when the simpler structure for simple continued fractions failed.

Fitting our data to the model suggests candidate values of \( \alpha = \frac{1}{b} \) and \( \beta = \frac{b-1}{b} \), from which we get

\[
\mu_b(x) = \frac{\log \frac{bx}{x+b-1}}{\log \frac{b^2}{2b-1}}. \tag{5}
\]

When we then apply (3), we get

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b-1} \mathcal{M}D_n(k) = \log \frac{1 + \frac{(b-1)^3}{(b^2+1)(b-1)^2}}{\log \frac{b^2}{2b-1}}.
\]

A proof of this distribution and of the type I Khinchine constant for each integer base \( b \), using ergodic theory, can be found in [6]. Additionally, it is likely that the proofs in Appendices A and B for the type III continued logarithm distribution and logarithmic Khinchine constant could be appropriately adjusted to prove these results.
If a type I base $b$ Khinchine constant $\mathcal{K}L^I_b$ exists (i.e., almost every $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ has the same limiting geometric mean of denominator terms), and if a limiting distribution $D(k) = \lim_{n \to \infty} D_n(k)$ of denominator terms exists, then

$$\mathcal{K}L^I_b = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} b^k \frac{MD(k)}{b - 1} = b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \frac{MD(k)}{b - 1}.$$ 

This is because the limiting distribution of denominator terms (if it exists) is essentially the “average” distribution over all numbers $\alpha \in (1, b)$. If we then assume that almost every $\alpha \in (1, b)$ has the same limiting geometric mean of denominator terms, then this limiting geometric mean (the logarithmic type I Khinchine constant) must equal the limiting geometric mean of the “average” distribution.

Thus, if we assume $\mathcal{K}L^I_b$ exists and that the distribution in (3) is correct, then we must have $\mathcal{K}L^I_b = b^A$, where

$$A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \frac{MD(k)}{b - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k [\mu_b (1 + (b - 1)b^{-k}) - \mu_b (1 + (b - 1)b^{-(k+1)})] = \frac{\log b}{\log b^{2k-1}} - 1,$$

by Theorem 2 and a lengthy but straightforward algebraic manipulation. These conjectured type I logarithmic Khinchine constants for $2 \leq b \leq 10$ are given in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{K}L^I_b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.656305058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.598065150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.556003239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.524285360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.499311827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.478977440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.461986788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.447498976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.434942582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Type I logarithmic Khinchine constants for $2 \leq b \leq 10$

These conjectured values of the type I logarithmic Khinchine constants were supported by empirical evidence, as the numerically computed limiting geometric means of denominator terms for various irrational constants give the expected values.

Notice that the type I logarithmic Khinchine constants have a simple closed form, which is noteworthy as no simple closed form has been found for the Khinchine constant for simple continued fractions.

3 Type II Continued Logarithms

3.1 Type II Definition and Preliminaries

Fix an integer base $b \geq 2$. We define type II continued logarithms as follows.
Definition 8. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$. The base $b$ continued logarithm for $\alpha$ is

$$
c_0b^{a_0} + \frac{c_1b^{a_1}}{c_1b^{a_1} + \frac{c_2b^{a_2}}{c_2b^{a_2} + \frac{c_3b^{a_3}}{\cdots} = [c_0b^{a_0}, c_1b^{a_1}, c_2b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{cl_2(b)},}
$$

where the terms $a_0, a_1, a_2 \ldots$ and $c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots$ are determined by the recursive process below, terminating at the term $c_nb^{a_n}$ if at any point $y_n = c_nb^{a_n}$.

$$
y_0 = \alpha \\
a_n = \lfloor \log_b y_n \rfloor \quad n \geq 0 \\
c_n = \frac{y_n}{b^{a_n}} \quad n \geq 0 \\
y_{n+1} = \frac{c_nb^{a_n}}{y_n - c_nb^{a_n}} \quad n \geq 0.
$$

Remark 3. The numerator terms $c_nb^{a_n}$ are defined to match the corresponding denominator terms. Recall that in the type I case, the term $y_{n+1}$ could take any value in $(1, \infty)$, regardless of the value of $a_n$. This is no longer true, since $y_n - c_nb^{a_n} \in (0, b^{a_n})$, so $y_{n+1} \in (c_n, \infty)$. We will see later that this results in type II continued logarithms having a more complicated distribution for which we could not find a closed form. This issue was the inspiration for the definition of type III continued logarithms, where the numerator terms are $b^{a_n}$ instead of $c_nb^{a_n}$.

Borwein et al. proved that the type II continued fraction of $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ will converge to $\alpha$, and that $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ has a finite continued logarithm if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ [2, Theorems 19 and 20] – unlike the situation for type I.

### 3.2 Distribution of Type II Continued Logarithm Terms and Type II Logarithmic Khinchine Constant

We now look at the limiting distribution of the type II continued logarithm terms. Consider $\alpha = [c_0b^{a_0}, c_1b^{a_1}, c_2b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{cl_2(b)}$. Assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, so that the continued logarithm for $\alpha$ is infinite. Furthermore, assume (without loss of generality) $a_0 = 0$ and $c_0 = 1$, so that $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

Definition 9. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$
D_n(k, \ell) = \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : a_n = k, c_n = \ell \}
$$

denote the $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ for which the $n$th continued logarithm term is $\ell b^k$.

Definition 10. Let $x = [1, c_1b^{a_1}, c_2b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{cl_2(b)} \in (1, 2)$ with $n$th remainder term $r_n = r_n(x) = [c_nb^{a_n}, c_{n+1}b^{a_{n+1}}, \ldots]_{cl_2(b)}$, as in Definition 4. Define

$$
z_n = z_n(x) = \frac{r_n}{c_nb^{a_n}} = [1, c_{n+1}b^{a_{n+1}}, c_{n+2}b^{a_{n+2}}, \ldots]_{cl_2(b)} \in (1, 2),
$$

$$
M_n(x) = \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : z_n(\alpha) < x \} \subseteq (1, 2),
$$

$$
m_n(x) = \mathcal{M}(M_n(x)) \in (0, 1),
$$

and

$$
m(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m_n(x),
$$

wherever this limit exists.
Notice that since $1 \leq z_n(\alpha) \leq 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, we must have $m_n(1) = 0$ and $m_n(2) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We may now derive a recursion relation for the functions $m_n$.

**Theorem 3.** The sequence of functions $m_n$ is given by the recursive relationship

$$m_0(x) = x - 1$$

$$m_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} m_{n-1}(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}) - m_{n-1}(\max\{1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}x^{-1}, 1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}\}) \quad n \geq 1$$

for $1 \leq x \leq 2$.

We can now derive a formula for $D_n(k, \ell)$ in terms of the function $m_n$.

**Theorem 4.**

$$M(D_{n+1}(k, \ell)) = m_n(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}) - m_n(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}).$$

Thus, if the limiting distribution $m(x)$ exists, it immediately follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(D_n(k, \ell)) = m(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}) - m(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}).$$

### 3.3 Experimentally Determining the Type II Distribution

Again, suppose $b$ is arbitrary. Let $\mu_b$ denote the limiting distribution function $m$ for the base $b$, assuming it exists.

We may again investigate the form of $\mu_b(x)$ by iterating the recurrence relation of Theorem 3 at points evenly spaced over the interval $[1, 2]$, starting with $m_0(x) = x - 1$. At each iteration, we fit a spline to these points, evaluating each “infinite” sum to 100 terms, and breaking the interval $[1, 2]$ into 100 pieces.

We find good convergence of $\mu_b(x)$ after around 10 iterations. However, we have been unable to find a closed form for $\mu_b$ for $b > 2$. It appears that $\mu_b$ is a continuous non-monotonic function that is smooth on $(1, 2)$ except at $x = \frac{j+1}{j}$ for $j = 2, \ldots, b - 1$.

If a logarithmic Khinchine constant $KL^{II}_b$ exists (i.e. almost every $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ has the same limiting geometric mean of denominator terms), and if a limiting distribution $D(k, \ell) = \lim_{n \to \infty} D_n(k, \ell)$ of denominator terms exists, then

$$KL^{II}_b = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \ell b^k M D(k, \ell).$$

This is because the limiting distribution of denominator terms (if it exists) is essentially the “average” distribution over all numbers $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. If we then assume that almost every $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ has the same limiting geometric mean of denominator terms, then this limiting geometric mean (the logarithmic Khinchine constant) must equal the limiting geometric mean of the “average” distribution.

However, since we do not know the limiting distribution, we can only approximate the logarithmic Khinchine constants.

This conjectured values of the type II logarithmic Khinchine constants are supported by empirical evidence, as the limiting geometric means of denominator terms for various irrational constants give the conjectured values.
4 Type III Continued Logarithms

Fix an integer base $b \geq 2$. In this section, we will introduce our third generalization of base 2 continued logarithms. This appears to be the best of the three generalizations, as we will show that type III continued logarithms have guaranteed convergence, rational finiteness, and closed forms for the limiting distribution and logarithmic Khinchine constant. Additionally, type III continued logarithms ‘converge’ to simple continued fractions if one looks at limiting behaviour as $b \to \infty$.

4.1 Type III Definitions and Recurrences

We start with some definitions, notation, and lemmas related to continued logarithm recurrences.

Definition 11. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$. The type III base $b$ continued logarithm for $\alpha$ is

$$\left[ c_0 b^{a_0} + \frac{b^{a_0}}{c_1 b^{a_1}} + \frac{b^{a_1}}{c_2 b^{a_2}} + \frac{b^{a_2}}{c_3 b^{a_3}} + \cdots \right]_{\text{cls}(b)} \in (1, \infty),$$

where the terms $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots$ and $c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots$ are determined by the recursive process below, terminating at the term $c_n b^{a_n}$ if at any point $y_n = c_n b^{a_n}$.

\begin{align*}
y_0 &= \alpha \\
a_n &= \lfloor \log_b y_n \rfloor \\
c_n &= \lfloor \frac{y_n}{b^{a_n}} \rfloor \\
y_{n+1} &= \frac{b^{a_n}}{y_n - c_n b^{a_n}}
\end{align*}

Remark 4. We can (and often will) think of the $a_n$ and $c_n$ as functions $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots : (1, \infty) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $c_0, c_1, c_2, \cdots : (1, \infty) \to \{ 1, 2, \ldots , b-1 \}$, since the terms $a_0, c_0, a_1, c_1, a_2, c_2, \ldots$ are uniquely determined by $\alpha$. Conversely, given the complete sequences $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots$ and $c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots$, one can recover the value of $\alpha$.

Remark 5. Let $\alpha = \left[ c_0 b^{a_0}, c_1 b^{a_1}, c_2 b^{a_2}, \ldots \right]_{\text{cls}(b)} \in (1, \infty)$. Based on Definitions 3 and 4, the $n$th convergent and $n$th remainder term of $\alpha$ are given by

$$x_n(\alpha) = c_0 b^{a_0} + \frac{b^{a_0}}{c_1 b^{a_1}} + \frac{b^{a_1}}{c_2 b^{a_2}} + \frac{b^{a_2}}{c_3 b^{a_3}} + \cdots + \frac{b^{a_{n-1}}}{c_n b^{a_n}}.$$
and

\[ r_n(\alpha) = c_n b^n + \frac{b^{\beta_n}}{c_{n+1} b^{\beta_{n+1}}} + \frac{b^{\beta_{n+1}}}{c_{n+2} b^{\beta_{n+2}}} + \cdots, \]

respectively.

Note that the terms \( r_n \) are the same as the terms \( y_n \) from Definition 11.

**Lemma 5.** The \( n \)th convergent of \( \alpha = [c_0 b^a, c_1 b^{a_1}, c_2 b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{c_{\text{odd}}} \) is given by

\[ x_n = \frac{p_n}{q_n} \]

where

\[ p_{-1} = 1, \quad q_{-1} = 0, \quad p_0 = c_0 b^{a_0}, \quad q_0 = 1, \]

and for \( n \geq 1, \)

\[
\begin{align*}
p_n &= c_n b^{\beta_n} p_{n-1} + b^{\beta_{n-1}} p_{n-2}, \\
q_n &= c_n b^{\beta_n} q_{n-1} + b^{\beta_{n-1}} q_{n-2}. 
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** This follows from Fact 1, where for continued logarithms we have \( \alpha_n = c_n b^n \) and \( \beta_n = b^{\alpha_n-1} \).

**Lemma 6.** We have the following lower bounds on the denominators \( q_n \):

- \( q_n \geq 2^{(n-1)/2} > \frac{1}{2} 2^{n/2} \) for \( n \geq 0 \),
- \( q_n \geq b^{a_1 + \cdots + a_n} \) for \( n \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** For the first bound, note that

\[ q_n = c_n b^{\beta_n} q_{n-1} + b^{\beta_{n-1}} q_{n-2} \geq (c_n b^{\beta_n} + b^{\beta_{n-1}}) q_{n-2} \geq 2q_{n-2}. \]

A simple inductive argument then gives \( q_n \geq 2^{n/2} q_0 = 2^{n/2} > 2^{(n-1)/2} \) for even \( n \) and \( q_n \geq 2^{(n-1)/2} q_1 \geq 2^{(n-1)/2} \) for odd \( n \).

For the second bound, note that \( q_n = c_n b^{\beta_n} q_{n-1} + b^{\beta_{n-1}} q_{n-2} \geq b^{a_0} q_{n-1} \) from which another simple inductive argument gives \( q_n \geq b^{a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n} q_0 = b^{a_1 + \cdots + a_n} \).

**Lemma 7.** For \( n \geq 0, \)

\[ p_n q_{n-1} - q_n p_{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} b^{a_0 + \cdots + a_{n-1}}. \]

**Proof.** For \( n = 0, \) we have

\[ p_0 q_{-1} - q_0 p_{-1} = c_0 b^{\alpha_0}(0) - 1(1) = -1 = (-1)^{-1} b^0. \]

Now suppose that the statement is true for some \( n \geq 0. \) Then by Lemma 5,

\[
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} q_n - q_{n+1} p_n &= (c_{n+1} b^{\beta_{n+1}} p_n + b^{\beta_n} p_{n-1}) q_n - (c_{n+1} b^{\beta_{n+1}} q_n + b^{\beta_n} q_{n-1}) p_n \\
&= -b^{\alpha_n} (p_n q_{n-1} - q_n p_{n-1}) = -b^{\alpha_n} (-1)^{n-1} b^{a_0 + \cdots + a_{n-1}} \\
&= (-1)^n b^{a_0 + \cdots + a_n}. \end{align*}
\]

so the result follows by induction.

The following lemma is equivalent to Lemma 5, and will be used to prove Theorem 9.
Lemma 8. Let \( a_{-1} = 0 \). Then for all \( n \geq 0 \),
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
p_n & p_{n-1} \\
q_n & q_{n-1}
\end{pmatrix} = \prod_{j=0}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} c_j b^{a_j} & 1 \\ b^{a_j-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Proof. For \( n = 0 \), we have
\[
\prod_{j=0}^{0} \begin{pmatrix} c_j b^{a_j} & 1 \\ b^{a_j-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 b^{a_0} & 1 \\ b^{a_0-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 b^{a_0} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_0 & p_{-1} \\ q_0 & q_{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now suppose for induction that
\[
\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_j b^{a_j} & 1 \\ b^{a_j-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{n-1} & p_{n-2} \\ q_{n-1} & q_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then by Lemma 5,
\[
\prod_{j=0}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} c_j b^{a_j} & 1 \\ b^{a_j-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{n-1} & p_{n-2} \\ q_{n-1} & q_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_n b^{a_n} & 1 \\ b^{a_n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_n b^{a_n} p_{n-1} + b^{a_n-1} p_{n-2} & p_{n-1} \\ c_n b^{a_n} q_{n-1} + b^{a_n-1} q_{n-2} & q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_n & p_{n-1} \\ q_n & q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},
\]
as asserted.

Theorem 9. For arbitrary \( 1 \leq k \leq n \),
\[
[c_0 b^{a_0}, c_1 b^{a_1}, \ldots, c_n b^{a_n}]_{\text{cls}(b)} = \frac{p_{k-1} r_k + p_{k-2} b^{a_{k-1}}}{q_{k-1} r_k + q_{k-2} b^{a_{k-1}}}.
\]

Proof. First notice that \( r_k = [c_k b^{a_k}, \ldots, c_n b^{a_n}]_{\text{cls}(b)} = \frac{p_k}{q_k} \), where
\[
\begin{pmatrix} p_k \\ q_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_k b^{a_k} & 1 \\ b^{a_k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b^{a_{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k b^{a_k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Also note that
\[
\begin{pmatrix} c_k b^{a_k} \\ b^{a_{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b^{a_{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k b^{a_k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then
\[
\begin{pmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{j=0}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} c_j b^{a_j} & 1 \\ b^{a_j-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_j b^{a_j} & 1 \\ b^{a_j-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \prod_{j=k+1}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} c_j b^{a_j} & 1 \\ b^{a_j-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-1} & p_{k-2} \\ q_{k-1} & q_{k-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b^{a_{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{p_{k-1} p_k + p_{k-2} b^{a_{k-1}} q_k}{q_{k-1} p_k + q_{k-2} b^{a_{k-1}} q_k}.
\]

Thus
\[
[c_0 b^{a_0}, \ldots, c_n b^{a_n}]_{\text{cls}(b)} = \frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{p_{k-1} p_k + p_{k-2} b^{a_{k-1}} q_k}{q_{k-1} p_k + q_{k-2} b^{a_{k-1}} q_k} = \frac{p_{k-1} p_k + p_{k-2} b^{a_{k-1}}}{q_{k-1} p_k + q_{k-2} b^{a_{k-1}}},
\]
as required.
4.2 Convergence and Rational Finiteness of Type III Continued Logarithms

**Theorem 10.** The type III continued logarithm for a number $x \geq 1$ converges to $x$.

*Proof.* Suppose that the continued logarithm for $x = [c_0 b^{a_0}, c_1 b^{a_1}, \ldots, c_n b^{a_n}]_{\mathbb{C}(b)}$ is finite. From the construction, we have $x = y_0$ where

$$y_k = c_k b^{a_k} + \frac{b^{a_k}}{y_{k+1}}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. From Definition 11, since the continued logarithm terminates, we have $y_n = c_n b^{a_n}$, at which point we simply have

$$x = c_0 b^{a_0} + \left( \frac{b^{a_0}}{c_1 b^{a_1}} + \frac{b^{a_1}}{c_2 b^{a_2}} + \frac{b^{a_2}}{c_3 b^{a_3}} + \cdots \right).$$

This shows convergence in the case of finite termination. If the continued logarithm for $x$ does not terminate, then convergence follows from Fact 2, since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n \alpha_{n+1}}{\beta_{n+1}^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n b^{a_n} c_{n+1} b^{a_{n+1}}}{b^{a_n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n c_{n+1} b^{a_{n+1}} = \infty,$$

while all terms are positive as required. \qed

**Lemma 11.** If

$$y = c_0 b^{a_0} + \frac{b^{a_0}}{c_1 b^{a_1}} + \frac{b^{a_1}}{c_2 b^{a_2}} + \cdots,$$

$$y_1 = c_0 b^{a_0} + \frac{c_{-1}^{-1} b^{a_0-a_1}}{1} + \frac{c_{-1}^{-1} b^{a_1-a_2}}{1} + \frac{c_{-1}^{-1} c_{-1}^{-1} b^{a_2-a_3}}{1} + \cdots,$$

then $y$ and $y_1$ are equivalent. (The form $y_1$ is called the denominator-reduced continued logarithm for $y$.)

*Proof.* Take $d_0 = 1$ and $d_n = c_{-1}^{-1} b^{-a_n}$ for $n \geq 1$ to satisfy the conditions of Definition 2. \qed

**Theorem 12.** The type III continued logarithm for a number $x \geq 1$ will terminate finitely if and only if $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

*Proof.* Clearly, if the continued logarithm for $x$ terminates finitely, then $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Conversely, suppose

$$x = c_0 b^{a_0} + \frac{b^{a_0}}{c_1 b^{a_1}} + \frac{b^{a_1}}{c_2 b^{a_2}} + \cdots$$

is rational. By Lemma 11, we can write

$$x = c_0 b^{a_0} \left( 1 + \frac{c_{-1}^{-1} c_{-1}^{-1} b^{-a_1}}{1} + \frac{c_{-1}^{-1} c_{-1}^{-1} b^{-a_2}}{1} + \cdots \right).$$

Let $y_n$ denote the $n$th tail of the continued logarithm, that is,

$$y_n = 1 + \frac{c_{-1}^{-1} c_{-1}^{-1} b^{-a_{n+1}}}{1} + \frac{c_{-1}^{-1} c_{-1}^{-1} b^{-a_{n+2}}}{1} + \cdots.$$
Notice that
\[ y_n = 1 + \frac{c_n^{-1}c_{n+1}^{-1}b^{-a_{n+1}}}{y_{n+1}}, \]
so
\[ y_{n+1} = \frac{c_n^{-1}c_{n+1}^{-1}b^{-a_{n+1}}}{y_n - 1}. \]
Since each \( y_n \) is rational, write \( y_n = \frac{u_n}{v_n} \) for positive relatively prime integers \( u_n \) and \( v_n \). Hence
\[ \frac{u_{n+1}}{v_{n+1}} = y_{n+1} = \frac{c_n^{-1}c_{n+1}^{-1}b^{-a_{n+1}}}{\frac{2u_n - v_n}{v_n}} = \frac{v_n}{c_n c_{n+1}b^{a_{n+1}}(u_n - v_n)}, \]
or equivalently,
\[ c_n c_{n+1}b^{a_{n+1}}(u_n - v_n)u_{n+1} = v_n v_{n+1}. \]
Notice that since \( y_n \geq 1 \) for all \( n \), \( u_n - v_n \geq 0 \), so each multiplicative term in the above equation is a nonnegative integer. Since \( u_{n+1} \) and \( v_{n+1} \) are relatively prime, we must have \( u_{n+1} \mid v_n \), so \( u_{n+1} \leq v_n \leq u_n \). If at any point we have \( u_{n+1} = v_n = u_n \), then \( y_n = \frac{u_n}{v_n} = 1 \) and the continued logarithm terminates. Otherwise, \( u_{n+1} < u_n \), so \( (u_n) \) is a strictly decreasing sequence of nonnegative integers, so the process must terminate, again giving a finite continued logarithm. \( \square \)

### 4.3 Using Measure Theory to Study the Type III Continued Logarithm Terms

We now look at the relative frequency of the continued logarithm terms. Specifically, the main theorem of this section places bounds on the measure of the set
\[ \{ x \in (1, 2) : a_1 = k_1, a_2 = k_2, \ldots, a_n = k_n, a_{n+1} = k \} \]
in terms of the measure of the set
\[ \{ x \in (1, 2) : a_1 = k_1, a_2 = k_2, \ldots, a_n = k_n \} \]
and the value of \( k \) and \( \ell \). From that, we can get preliminary bounds on the measure of \( \{ x \in (1, 2) : a_n = k, c_n = \ell \} \) in terms of \( k \) and \( \ell \).

Consider \( \alpha = [c_0b^{a_0}, c_1b^{a_1}, c_2b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{\text{cl}(b)} \). Assume that \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Q} \), so that the continued logarithm for \( \alpha \) is infinite. Furthermore, assume \( a_0 = 1 \) and \( c_0 = 1 \), so that \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \). Notice that in order to have \( a_1 = k_1 \) and \( c_1 = \ell_1 \), we must have \( 1 + (\ell_1 + 1)b^{-k_1} < \alpha \leq 1 + \ell_1 b^{-k_1} \). Thus we can partition \( (1, 2) \) into countably many intervals
\[ J_1 \left( \frac{0}{1} \right), J_1 \left( \frac{0}{2} \right), \ldots, J_1 \left( \frac{0}{b - 1} \right), J_1 \left( \frac{1}{1} \right), J_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right), \ldots \]
such that \( a_1 = k_1 \) and \( c_1 = \ell_1 \) for all \( \alpha \in J_1 \left( \frac{k_1}{\ell_1} \right) \). This gives, in general,
\[ J_1 \left( \frac{k_1}{\ell_1} \right) = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(\ell_1 + 1)b^{k_1}}, 1 + \frac{1}{\ell_1 b^{k_1}} \right] \]
We call these intervals the intervals of first rank.
Now fix some interval of first rank, \(J_1\left(\frac{k_1}{\ell_1}\right)\), and consider the values of \(a_2\) and \(c_2\) for \(\alpha \in J_1\left(\frac{k_1}{\ell_1}\right)\). One can show that we have \(a_1 = k_1, c_1 = \ell_1, a_2 = k_2,\) and \(c_2 = \ell_2\) on the interval

\[
J_2\left(\frac{k_1}{\ell_1}, \frac{k_2}{\ell_2}\right) = \left[1 + \frac{1}{\ell_1 b^{k_1} + \frac{b^{k_1}}{\ell_2 b^{k_2}}}, 1 + \frac{1}{\ell_2 b^{k_2} + \frac{b^{k_2}}{(\ell_2 + 1) b^{k_2}}}\right).
\]

These are the intervals of second rank. We may repeat this process indefinitely to get the intervals of \(n\)th rank, noting that each interval of rank \(n\) is just a subinterval of an interval of rank \(n - 1\).

**Definition 12.** Let \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). The intervals of \(n\)th rank are the intervals of the form

\[
J_n\left(\frac{k_1}{\ell_1}, \frac{k_2}{\ell_2}, \ldots, \frac{k_n}{\ell_n}\right) = \left\{\alpha \in (1, 2) : a_1 = k_1, a_2 = k_2, \ldots, a_n = k_n, c_1 = \ell_1, c_2 = \ell_2, \ldots, c_n = \ell_n\right\},
\]

where \(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) and \(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\}\).

**Remark 6.** The intervals of \(n\)th rank will be half-open intervals that are open on the left if \(n\) is odd and open on the right if \(n\) is even. However, for simplicity, we will ignore what happens at the endpoints and treat these intervals as open intervals. This will not affect the main theorems of this paper, as the set of endpoints is a set of measure zero.

**Definition 13.** Suppose \(m, n \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(m \geq n\). Let \(a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) and \(c_{n+1}, \ldots, c_m \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\}\). Let \(f\) be a function that maps intervals of rank \(m\) to real numbers. Then we define

\[
\sum_{(n)} f\left(J_m\left(\frac{a_1}{c_1}, \frac{a_2}{c_2}, \ldots, \frac{a_m}{c_m}\right)\right) = \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c_1=1}^{b-1} \cdots \sum_{a_n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c_n=1}^{b-1} f\left(J_m\left(\frac{a_1}{c_1}, \frac{a_2}{c_2}, \ldots, \frac{a_m}{c_m}\right)\right),
\]

and similarly we define

\[
\bigcup_{(n)} J_m\left(\frac{a_1}{c_1}, \frac{a_2}{c_2}, \ldots, \frac{a_m}{c_m}\right) = \bigcup_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{c_1=1}^{b-1} \cdots \bigcup_{a_n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{c_n=1}^{b-1} J_m\left(\frac{a_1}{c_1}, \frac{a_2}{c_2}, \ldots, \frac{a_m}{c_m}\right).
\]

**Definition 14.** Let \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Let

\[
D_n(k, \ell) = \{\alpha \in (1, 2) : a_n = k, c_n = \ell\}
\]

denote the set of points where the \(n\)th continued logarithm term is \(\ell b^k\).

**Remark 7.** \(D_n(k, \ell)\) is a countable union of intervals of rank \(n\), specifically,

\[
D_n(k, \ell) = \bigcup_{(n-1)} J_n\left(\frac{a_1}{c_1}, \frac{a_2}{c_2}, \ldots, \frac{a_{n-1}}{c_{n-1}}, \frac{k}{\ell}\right).
\]

**Lemma 13.** Let \(J_n\left(\frac{a_1}{c_1}, \frac{a_2}{c_2}, \ldots, \frac{a_n}{c_n}\right)\) be an interval of rank \(n\). The endpoints of \(J_n\) are

\[
p_n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_n + p_{n-1} b^{a_n}}{q_n + q_{n-1} b^{a_n}}.
\]
Proof. Let \( \alpha \in J_n \left( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \right) \) be arbitrary. Note that \( \alpha = [1, c_1b^{a_1}, \ldots, c_nb^{a_n}, r_{n+1}]_{\ell(b)} \), where \( r_{n+1} \) can take any real value in \([1, \infty)\). From Theorem 9, we have

\[
\alpha = \frac{p_n r_{n+1} + p_{n-1} b^{a_n}}{q_n r_{n+1} + q_{n-1} b^{a_n}}.
\]

Notice that

\[
\frac{\alpha - p_n}{q_n} = \frac{p_n r_{n+1} + p_{n-1} b^{a_n}}{q_n r_{n+1} + q_{n-1} b^{a_n}} = \frac{(q_n p_{n-1} - p_n q_{n-1}) b^{a_n}}{q_n (q_n r_{n+1} + q_{n-1} b^{a_n})},
\]

and on \( J_n \left( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \right) \), all of \( p_n, q_n, p_{n-1}, q_{n-1}, a_n \) are fixed. Thus \( \alpha \) is a monotonic function of \( r_{n+1} \), so the extreme values of \( \alpha \) on \( J_n \left( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \right) \) will occur at the extreme values of \( r_{n+1} \). Taking \( r_{n+1} = 1 \) gives \( \alpha = \frac{p_n}{q_n} \). Thus the endpoints of \( J_n \left( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \right) \) are

\[
\frac{p_n}{q_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_n + p_{n-1} b^{a_n}}{q_n + q_{n-1} b^{a_n}},
\]

as claimed. \( \square \)

Theorem 14. Suppose \( n \in \mathbb{N}, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \) and \( c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n, \ell \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\} \). Let \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) and \( c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \). Then

\[
\frac{1}{4\ell(\ell + 1)b^k} \mathcal{M}_{J_n} \left( \frac{a}{c} \right) \leq \mathcal{M}_{J_{n+1}} \left( \frac{a}{c}, \frac{k}{\ell} \right) \leq \frac{2}{\ell(\ell + 1)b^k} \mathcal{M}_{J_n} \left( \frac{a}{c} \right).
\]

Proof. From Lemma 13, we know that the endpoints of \( J_n \left( \frac{a}{c} \right) \) are

\[
\frac{p_n}{q_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_n + p_{n-1} b^{a_n}}{q_n + q_{n-1} b^{a_n}}.
\]

Now in order to be in \( J_{n+1} \left( \frac{a}{c}, \frac{k}{\ell} \right) \), we must have \( a_{n+1} = k \) and \( c_{n+1} = \ell \), so \( \ell b^k \leq r_{n+1} \leq (\ell + 1)b^k \). Thus the endpoints of \( J_{n+1} \left( \frac{a}{c}, \frac{k}{\ell} \right) \) will be

\[
\frac{p_n \ell b^k + p_{n-1} b^{a_n}}{q_n \ell b^k + q_{n-1} b^{a_n}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_n (\ell + 1)b^k + p_{n-1} b^{a_n}}{q_n (\ell + 1)b^k + q_{n-1} b^{a_n}}.
\]

Thus

\[
\mathcal{M}_{J_n} \left( \frac{a}{c} \right) = \left| \frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_n + p_{n-1} b^{a_n}}{q_n + q_{n-1} b^{a_n}} \right| = \left| \frac{p_n q_{n-1} b^{a_n} - p_{n-1} q_n b^{a_n}}{q_n (q_n + q_{n-1} b^{a_n})} \right|
\]

\[
= \frac{q_n^2}{q_n (q_n + q_{n-1} b^{a_n})} = \frac{q_n^2}{q_n (1 + q_{n-1} b^{a_n})}.
\]
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and
\[
M_{J_{n+1}}(a, k, \ell) = \frac{p_n\ell b^k + p_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n\ell b^k + q_{n-1}b^{an}} - \frac{p_n(\ell + 1)b^k + p_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n(\ell + 1)b^k + q_{n-1}b^{an}}
\]
\[
= \frac{p_nq_{n-1}\ell b^{a_n+k} + p_nq_n(\ell + 1)b^{a_n+k} - p_nq_{n-1}(\ell + 1)b^{a_n+k} - p_nq_n\ell b^{a_n+k}}{(q_n\ell b^k + q_{n-1}b^{an})(q_n(\ell + 1)b^k + q_{n-1}b^{an})}
\]
\[
= \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)b^{2k}q_n^2(1 + \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n\ell b^k})(1 + \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n(\ell + 1)b^k})}{b^{a_1 + \cdots + a_n+k}}
\]
so
\[
M_{J_{n+1}}(a, c, \ell) = \frac{1 + \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n}}{\ell(\ell + 1)b^k(1 + \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n\ell b^k})(1 + \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n(\ell + 1)b^k})}
\]

Now notice that \(q_n = c_n\ell^a q_{n-1} + b^{a_n-1}q_{n-2} \geq b^{a_n}q_{n-1}\), so \(0 \leq \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n} \leq 1\), \(0 \leq \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n\ell b^k} \leq 1\), and \(0 \leq \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n(\ell + 1)b^k} \leq 1\), and thus
\[
\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{1 + \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n}}{\left(1 + \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n\ell b^k}\right)\left(1 + \frac{q_{n-1}b^{an}}{q_n(\ell + 1)b^k}\right)} \leq 2.
\]

Therefore
\[
\frac{1}{4\ell(\ell + 1)b^k}M_{J_n}(a, c) \leq M_{J_{n+1}}(a, k, \ell) \leq \frac{2}{\ell(\ell + 1)b^k}M_{J_n}(a, c),
\]
and we are done. \(\square\)

**Corollary 15.** Let \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\), and \(\ell \in \{1, \ldots, b - 1\}\). Then
\[
\frac{1}{4\ell(\ell + 1)b^k} \leq M(D_{n+1}(k, \ell)) \leq \frac{2}{\ell(\ell + 1)b^k}.
\]

**Proof.** Note that any two distinct intervals of rank \(n\) are disjoint. Thus we can add up the above inequality over all intervals of rank \(n\), noting that
\[
\bigcup_{n} J_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n, c_1, \ldots, c_n) = (1, 2),
\]
so
\[
\sum_{n} M_{J_n}(a_1, \ldots, a_n, c_1, \ldots, c_n) = M(1, 2) = 1,
\]
and that
\[
\bigcup_{n} J_{n+1}(a_1, \ldots, a_n, k, c_1, \ldots, c_n, \ell) = D_{n+1}(k, \ell),
\]
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\[
\sum_{J} M J_{n+1} \left( a_1, \ldots, a_n, k, \ell \right) = M D_{n+1}(k, \ell).
\]

This gives
\[
\frac{1}{4\ell(\ell+1)b^k} \leq M D_{n+1}(k, \ell) \leq \frac{2}{\ell(\ell+1)b^k},
\]
as needed.

### 4.4 Distribution of Type III Continued Logarithm Terms

**Definition 15.** Let \( x = [1, c_1 b^a, c_2 b^a, \ldots]_{cl_3(b)} \in (1, 2) \) and \( r_n = r_n(x) = [c_n b^{a_n}, c_{n+1} b^{a_{n+1}}, \ldots]_{cl_3(b)} \), as per Remark 5. Define

\[
z_n = z_n(x) = \frac{r_n}{b^{a_n}} - c_n + 1 = [1, c_{n+1} b^{a_{n+1}}, c_{n+2} b^{a_{n+2}}, \ldots]_{cl_3(b)} \in (1, 2),
\]

\[M_n(x) = \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : z_n(\alpha) < x \} \subseteq (1, 2),\]

\[m_n(x) = M M_n(x) \in (0, 1),\]

and

\[m(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m_n(x),\]

wherever this limit exists.

We now get a recursion relation for the sequence of functions \( m_n \).

**Theorem 16.** The sequence of functions \( m_n \) is given by the recursive relationship

\[
m_0(x) = x - 1
\]

\[
m_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} m_{n-1}(1 + \ell^{-1} b^{-k}) - m_{n-1}(1 + (x + \ell - 1)^{-1} b^{-k}) \quad n \geq 1
\]

for \( 1 \leq x \leq 2 \).

**Proof.** Notice that \( r_0(\alpha) = \alpha, a_0 = 0, \) and \( c_0 = 1, \) so \( z_0(\alpha) = \frac{r_0}{b^{a_0}} - c_0 + 1 = \alpha \) and thus

\[M_0(x) = \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : z_0(\alpha) < x \} = \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : \alpha < x \} = (1, x),\]

so \( m_0(x) = x - 1. \) Now fix \( n \geq 1. \) Since \( a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( c_n \in \{1, \ldots, b - 1\}, \) we have

\[m_n(x) = M \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : z_n < x \} = M \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : z_n < x, a_n = k, c_n = \ell \}.
\]

Fix \( x \in (1, 2) \) and let

\[A_{k, \ell} = \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : z_n < x, a_n = k, c_n = \ell \}\]

for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( \ell \in \{1, \ldots, b - 1\}. \) By Definition 15, \( z_n < x \) if and only if

\[\frac{r_n}{b^{a_n}} - c_n + 1 < x.\]
Notice that
\[ z_{n-1} = [1, c_n b^{n}, c_{n+1} b^{n+1}, \ldots]_{\ell_3(b)} = [1, r_n]_{\ell_3(b)} = 1 + \frac{1}{r_n}, \]
so \( z_n < x \) if and only if
\[ \frac{1}{b^n (z_{n-1} - 1)} - c_n + 1 < x, \]
or equivalently
\[ z_{n-1} > 1 + (x + c_n - 1)^{-1} b^{-n} = 1 + (x + \ell - 1)^{-1} b^{-k}. \tag{11} \]
Additionally, in order to have \( a_n = k \) and \( c_n = \ell \), we must have \( \ell b^k \leq r_n < (\ell + 1)b^k \), or equivalently,
\[ 1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1} b^{-k} < z_{n-1} \leq 1 + \ell^{-1} b^{-k}. \tag{12} \]
Now notice that since \( x < 2 \),
\[ 1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1} b^{-k} < 1 + (x + \ell - 1)^{-1} b^{-k}; \]
and thus the left hand inequality in (12) is implied by (11). Therefore \( z_n < x \) with \( a_n = k \) and \( c_n = \ell \) if and only if
\[ 1 + (x + \ell - 1)^{-1} b^{-k} < z_{n-1} \leq 1 + \ell^{-1} b^{-k}. \tag{13} \]
Thus
\[ A_{k,\ell} = \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : 1 + (x + \ell - 1)^{-1} b^{-k} < z_{n-1} \leq 1 + \ell^{-1} b^{-k} \}. \tag{14} \]
Now suppose \( k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \{1, \ldots, b - 1 \} \) with \((k_1, \ell_1) \neq (k_2, \ell_2)\). We claim that \( A_{k_1,\ell_1} \) and \( A_{k_2,\ell_2} \) are disjoint. Consider two cases:

Case 1: \( k_1 \neq k_2 \). Suppose (without loss of generality) that \( k_2 < k_1 \), so \( k_2 - k_1 \leq -1 \). Also note that \( 1 \leq \ell_2 \leq b - 1 \) and \( x < 2 \) so \( \ell_2 + x - 1 < b \). Then we have
\[ 1 + \ell_1^{-1} b^{-k_1} = 1 + \ell_1^{-1} b^{k_2-k_1} b^{-k_2} \leq 1 + \ell_1^{-1} b^{-k_2} \leq 1 + b^{-k_2} < 1 + (\ell_2 + x - 1)^{-1} b^{-k_2}. \tag{15} \]

Case 2: \( k_1 = k_2 \), \( \ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \). Suppose (without loss of generality) that \( \ell_1 > \ell_2 \), so indeed \( \ell_1 \geq \ell_2 + 1 \). Then since \( x - 1 < 1 \),
\[ 1 + \ell_1^{-1} b^{-k_1} = 1 + \ell_1^{-1} b^{-k_2} \leq 1 + (\ell_2 + 1)^{-1} b^{-k_2} < 1 + (\ell_2 + x - 1)^{-1} b^{-k_2}. \tag{16} \]

Now suppose \( a_1 \in A_{k_1,\ell_1} \) and \( a_2 \in A_{k_2,\ell_2} \). By (14) and either (15) or (16),
\[ a_1 \leq 1 + \ell_1^{-1} b^{-k_1} < 1 + (\ell_2 + x - 1)^{-1} b^{-k_2} \leq a_2, \]
so \( a_1 \neq a_2 \) and thus \( A_{k_1,\ell_1} \) and \( A_{k_2,\ell_2} \) must be disjoint. Therefore
\[ m_n(x) = \mathcal{M} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k,\ell} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \mathcal{M}(A_{k,\ell}). \tag{17} \]
Finally, since \( m_{n-1}(x) = \mathcal{M}\{\alpha \in (1, 2) : z_{n-1} < x\} \), by (14) and (17) we can conclude
\[ m_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \left( m_{n-1}(1 + \ell^{-1} b^{-k}) - m_{n-1} \left( 1 + (x + \ell - 1)^{-1} b^{-k} \right) \right), \]
which proves the recursion (10), and completes the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)
Theorem 17. There exist constants $A, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left| m_n(x) - \frac{\log \frac{bx}{x+b-1}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \right| < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $x \in (1, 2)$.

The proof of this theorem, which is based on the proof in Section 15 of [5], is lengthy and somewhat technical. It is provided in appendix A, and the following corollary immediately follows.

Corollary 18. We have

$$m(x) = \frac{\log \frac{bx}{x+b-1}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}$$

for all $x \in (1, 2)$.

Theorem 19. We have

$$\mathcal{M}(D_{n+1}(k, \ell)) = m_n(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}) - m_n(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha \in D_{n+1}(k, \ell)$. Then $a_{n+1} = k$ and $c_{n+1} = \ell$, so

$$z_n = [1, \ell b^k, r_{n+2}] = 1 + \frac{1}{\ell b^k + \frac{b^k}{r_{n+2}}}.$$

where $r_{n+2}$ can take any value in $(1, \infty)$. Clearly $z_n$ is a monotonic function of $r_{n+2}$ for fixed $k, \ell$, so the extreme values of $z_n$ on $D_{n+1}(k, \ell)$ will occur at the extreme values of $r_{n+2}$. Letting $r_n \to 1$ gives $z_n = 1 + \frac{1}{\ell b^k + 0} = 1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}$ and letting $r_n \to \infty$ gives $z_n = 1 + \frac{1}{\ell b^k + \infty} = \ell^{-1}b^{-k}$. Thus

$$D_{n+1}(k, \ell) = \{\alpha \in (1, 2) : 1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k} < z_n(\alpha) \leq 1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}\}$$

$$= M_n(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}) \setminus M_n(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}),$$

so

$$\mathcal{M}D_{n+1}(k, \ell) = m_n(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}) - m_n(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}).$$

Theorem 20. There exist constants $A, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathcal{M}(D_n(k, \ell)) - \frac{\log \frac{((\ell b^k + 1)((\ell + 1) b^{k+1} + 1))}{(\ell b^{k+1} + 1)(\ell + 1) b^{k+1} + 1}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \right| < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n-1}} \frac{1}{\ell (\ell + 1)b^k}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b - 1\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

We then immediately get the following limiting distribution. Notice that like with type II continued fractions, the distribution is non-monotonic. This is due to the gaps in possible denominator terms. For example, for base 4, the possible denominator terms are $1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, \ldots$. The jump from 4 to 8 causes a spike in the limiting distribution.
Corollary 21. We have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(D_n(k, \ell))) = \frac{\log \frac{(b \ell+1)(\ell+1)b^{k+1}+1}{(b \ell+1)(\ell+1)b^{k+1}}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}
\]
for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( \ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\} \).

4.5 Type III Logarithmic Khinchine Constant

We now extend the Khinchine constant to type III continued logarithms. Note that we only gave an overview for type I and type II, but here we will be much more rigorous.

**Definition 16.** Let \( \alpha \in (1, \infty) \) have type III continued logarithm \([c_0 b^{a_0}, c_1 b^{a_1}, c_2 b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{cl_3(b)}\). Let \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( \ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\} \). We define
\[
P_{\alpha}(k, \ell) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{|\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n = k, c_n = \ell\}|}{N}
\]
to be the limiting proportion of continued logarithm terms of \( \alpha \) that have \( a_n = k \) and \( c_n = \ell \), if this limit exists.

Note that for the theorems which follow, we will restrict our study to \((1, 2)\) instead of \((1, \infty)\). The results can be easily extended to \((1, \infty)\) by noting that every \( \alpha \in (1, \infty) \) corresponds to an \( \alpha' \in (1, 2) \) in the sense that the continued logarithm of \( \alpha' \) is just the continued logarithm of \( \alpha \) with the first term replace by 1. Since we are looking at limiting behaviour over all terms, changing the first term will have no impact.

The following two theorems are proved in Appendix B. The proofs are based on the analogous proofs for simple continued fractions that are presented in Sections 15 and 16 of [5].

**Theorem 22.** For almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) with continued logarithm \([1, c_1 b^{a_1}, c_2 b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{cl_3(b)}\) we have
\[
P_{\alpha}(k, \ell) = \frac{\log \frac{(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+\ell+1)^{1-b^{-k}}}{(b+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(1+\ell+1)^{1-b^{-k}}} \log \frac{2b}{b+1}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}
\]
for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( \ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\} \).

**Theorem 23.** For almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) with continued logarithm \([1, c_1 b^{a_1}, c_2 b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{cl_3(b)}\) we have
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \prod_{n=1}^{N} (c_n b^{a_n}) \right)^{1/N} = b^{A_b},
\]
where
\[
A_b = \frac{1}{\log b \log \frac{b+1}{2b}} \sum_{\ell=2}^{b} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right).
\]

The values of the Khinchine constant given by the above formula for \( 2 \leq b \leq 10 \) are shown in Figure 3.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>( KL_{\text{III}}^b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.656305058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.666666667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.67138848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.674705520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.67638451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.67792355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.67891102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.67957051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.680362475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Type III logarithmic Khinchine constants for \( 2 \leq b \leq 10 \)

**Remark 8.** Notice that Theorem 22 is similar to Corollary 21. However, Corollary 21 is about the limiting proportion of numbers \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) that have \( a_n = k \) and \( c_n = \ell \), whereas Theorem 22 is about the limiting proportion of terms of a number \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) for which \( a_n = k \) and \( c_n = \ell \). The fact that these two limits are the same is not a coincidence: one can show that Corollary 21 is a consequence of Theorem 22.

Based on Theorem 23, we denote

\[
KL_{\text{III}}^b = b^{A_b},
\]

where \( A_b \) is as in Theorem 23.

### 4.6 Type III Continued Logarithms and Simple Continued Fractions

Now suppose \( b \) is no longer fixed. Let \( \mu_b \) denote the limiting distribution for a given base \( b \), as shown in Corollary 18. That is,

\[
\mu_b(x) = \log \frac{bx}{x + b - 1}.
\]

Furthermore, let \( KL_{\text{III}}^b \) denote the base \( b \) logarithmic Khinchine constant, as in Remark 8, and let \( K \) denote the Khinchine constant for simple continued fractions, as in Section 1.4.

We now have an interesting relationship between these logarithmic Khinchine constants and the Khinchine constant for simple continued fractions, based on the following lemma.

**Lemma 24** ([1], Lemma 1(c)).

\[
\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) = -\log K \log 2.
\]

**Theorem 25.**

\[
\lim_{b \to \infty} KL_{\text{III}}^b = K.
\]
Proof. We will show that \( \lim_{b \to \infty} \log K \mathcal{L}^{\text{III}}_b = \log K \), from which the desired limit immediately follows.

\[
\lim_{b \to \infty} \log K \mathcal{L}^{\text{III}}_b = \lim_{b \to \infty} \log b^{A_b} = \lim_{b \to \infty} (\log b) A_b
\]

\[
= \lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{\log b}{\log b \log (\frac{b+1}{2})} \sum_{k=2}^{b} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k} \right)
\]

\[
= \lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log (\frac{b}{2})} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k} \right)
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{\log 2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) = \log K.
\]

Furthermore, as \( b \to \infty \), the distribution function \( \mu_b \) approaches the appropriately shifted continued fraction distribution \( \mu_{cl} \). The continued fraction distribution function is given by

\[
\mu_{cl}(x) = \log_2 (1 + x) \quad x \in (0, 1).
\]

(See Section 3.4 of [3].) Since the continued fraction for a number will be unchanged (except for the first term) when adding an integer, we can shift this distribution to the right and think of it as a distribution over \((1, 2)\) instead of \((0, 1)\), in order to compare it to \( \mu_b \). We define the shifted continued fraction distribution

\[
\mu_{cl}^*(x) = \mu_{cl}(x - 1) = \log_2 x \quad x \in (1, 2).
\]

We then have

\[
\lim_{b \to \infty} \mu_b(x) = \lim_{b \to \infty} \log \frac{x + b - 1}{bx} = \lim_{b \to \infty} \log \frac{\frac{1}{b} + \frac{x - 1}{bx}}{\log \left( \frac{b+1}{2} \right)} = \frac{\log \frac{1}{b}}{\log \frac{b+1}{2}} = -\log x = \log_2 (x) = \mu_{cl}^*(x).
\]

This shows that, in some sense, as we let \( b \to \infty \) for type III continued logarithms, we get in the limit simple continued fractions.

5 Generalizing Beyond Continued Logarithms

A natural question that arises is how one can define something more general than continued logarithms. Consider the following definition of generalized continued fractions.

**Definition 17.** Let \((c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\) be an increasing sequence of natural numbers with \( c_0 = 1 \). Let \( \alpha \in (1, \infty) \). The generalized continued fraction for \( \alpha \) determined by \((c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\) is

\[
a_0 + \left[ \frac{b_0}{a_1} + \frac{b_1}{a_2} + \frac{b_2}{a_3} + \cdots = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots]_{gcf}, \right.
\]
where the terms $a_0, a_1, \ldots$ and $b_0, b_1, \ldots$ are determined by the following recursive process, terminating at the term $a_n$ if $y_n = a_n$.

$$y_0 = \alpha$$
$$j_n = \max\{j : c_j \leq y_n\} \quad n \geq 0$$
$$a_n = c_{j_n} \quad n \geq 0$$
$$b_n = c_{j_n+1} - c_{j_n} \quad n \geq 0$$
$$y_{n+1} = \frac{b_n}{y_n - a_n} = \frac{c_{j_n+1} - c_{j_n}}{y_n - c_{j_n}} \quad n \geq 0.$$

**Remark 9.** This is a generalization of simple continued fractions, and of type I and type III continued logarithms. Indeed, for simple continued fractions, the term sequence $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ consists of the natural numbers. For type I continued logarithms, the term sequence consists of the powers $b^0, b^1, b^2, \ldots$. For type III continued logarithms, the term sequence consists of terms of the form $\ell b^k$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\}$.

Recall from Remark 3 that type II continued logarithms did not have the property that $y_{n+1}$ could take any value in $(1, \infty)$, regardless of the values of $a_n, c_n$. This is a desirable property to have, since it uniquely determines the numerator terms based on the corresponding denominator terms. We have defined generalized continued logarithms so that they have this property, and for that reason they are not a generalization of type II continued logarithms.

**Remark 10.** As per Definitions 3 and 4, the $n$th convergent and $n$th remainder term are given by

$$x_n = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n]_{\text{gcf}} \quad \text{and} \quad r_n = [a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \ldots]_{\text{gcf}},$$

respectively. Note that the remainder terms $r_n$ and the terms $y_n$ from Definition 17 are in fact the same.

We can derive various results for generalized continued fractions that are similar to those for continued logarithms. Most notably, we get the following sufficient criteria for guaranteed convergence and rational finiteness.

**Theorem 26.** Suppose there is a constant $M > 0$ such that $c_{j+1} - c_j < Mc_j$ for all $j$. Then every infinite continued fraction with term sequence $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ will converge.

**Theorem 27.** Suppose $(c_{n+1} - c_n) \mid c_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then for every $\alpha > 1$, the continued fraction of $\alpha$ is finite if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We are also able to extend some of the measure-theoretic results to generalized continued fractions, though details are not provided here. We conjecture that the main results that we derived for the distribution and Khinchine constant of continued logarithms would extend (likely with some additional restrictions on the sequence $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$) to our generalized continued fractions.
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Appendix A: Proof of the Type III Continued Logarithm Distribution

This appendix is devoted to proving Theorems 17 and 20, restated below:

**Theorem 17** (Restated). There exist constants $A, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{m_n(x) - \log \frac{bx}{x+b-1}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \right| < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $x \in (1, 2)$.

**Theorem 20** (Restated). There exist constants $A, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left| M(D_n(k, \ell)) - \frac{\log \frac{(b^k+1)((\ell+1)b^{k+1}+1)}{(b^{k+1}+1)((\ell+1)b^k+1)}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \right| < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n-1}} \frac{1}{\ell(\ell + 1)b^k}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b - 1\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

These proofs are based extensively on the proof presented in Section 15 of [5], which proves similar statements for simple continued fractions.
Lemma 28. For $x > 1$,
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^{2}} \frac{1}{(1 + b^{-k}(x + \ell - 1)^{-1})(b + b^{-k}(x + \ell - 1)^{-1})} = \frac{1}{x(x + b - 1)}.
\]

Proof.
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^{2}} \frac{1}{(1 + b^{-k}(x + \ell - 1)^{-1})(b + b^{-k}(x + \ell - 1)^{-1})}
\]
\[= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{k}}{(b^{k}(x + \ell - 1) + 1)(b^{k+1}(x + \ell - 1) + 1)}
\]
\[= \frac{1}{1 - b} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \left( \frac{1}{x + \ell - 1} - \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{b^{k}}{b^{k+1}(x + \ell - 1) + 1} \right)
\]
\[= \frac{1}{1 - b} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \left( \frac{1}{x + \ell - 1} - \frac{1}{x + \ell - 1} \right)
\]
\[= \frac{1}{1 - b} \left[ \frac{1}{x + b - 1} - \frac{1}{x} \right] = \frac{1}{1 - b} \left[ \frac{1 - b}{x(x + b - 1)} \right] = \frac{1}{x(x + b - 1)}.
\]

Theorem 29. The sequence of functions $m'_{n}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} m_{n}(x)$ is given by the recursive relationship
\[
m'_{0}(x) = 1
\]
\[
m'_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} b^{-k}(x + \ell - 1)^{-2} m_{n-1}(1 + b^{-k}(x + \ell - 1)^{-1}) \quad n \geq 1.
\]

for $1 \leq x \leq 2$.

Proof. Equation (18) follows immediately from (9). Notice that (19) is the result of differentiating both sides of (10). In general, if $m'_{n+1}$ is bounded and continuous for some $n$, then the series on the right hand side of (19) will converge uniformly on $(1, 2)$. Thus the sum of the series will be bounded and continuous and will equal $m'_{n+1}$, so (19) follows by induction, since $m'_{0}$ is clearly bounded and continuous.

We will now prove a number of lemmas and theorems about the following classes of sequences of functions, to which $(m'_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ belongs.

Definition 18. Let $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots$ be a sequence of functions on $(1, 2)$. We will say $(f_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^{*}$ if for all $x \in (1, 2)$ and $n \geq 0$,
\[
f_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^{2}} f_{n} \left( 1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{x + \ell - 1} \right).
\]

Furthermore, we say that $(f_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^{**}$ if $(f_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^{*}$ and there exist constants $M, \mu > 0$ such that for all $x \in (1, 2)$, we have $0 < f_{0}(x) < M$ and $|f'_{0}(x)| < \mu$. 
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Lemma 30.
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^{a_0+\cdots+a_n}}{q_n(q_n+b^{a_n}q_{n-1})} = 1. \]

Proof. Since the intervals of rank \( n \) are disjoint and
\[ \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n \left( a_1, \ldots, a_n \right) = (1, 2), \]
we have that
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M J_n \left( a_1, \ldots, a_n \right) = M(1, 2) = 1. \]

Now notice that by Lemma 13 and Lemma 7,
\[ M J_n \left( a_1, \ldots, a_n \right) = \frac{p_n - p_n + b^{a_n}p_{n-1}}{q_n - q_n + b^{a_n}q_{n-1}} = \frac{b^{a_n}(p_nq_{n-1} - q_np_{n-1})}{q_n(q_n+b^{a_n}q_{n-1})} \]
\[ = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}b^{a_0+\cdots+a_n}}{q_n(q_n+b^{a_n}q_{n-1})}, \]
and thus
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^{a_0+\cdots+a_n}}{q_n(q_n+b^{a_n}q_{n-1})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M J_n \left( a_1, \ldots, a_n \right) = 1. \]

Lemma 31. If \( (f_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^* \) then for \( n \geq 0, \)
\[ f_n(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_0 \left( p_n + b^{a_n}p_{n-1}(x-1) \right) \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{(q_n+b^{a_n}q_{n-1}(x-1))^2}. \]

(21)

Proof. For \( n = 0 \), we just have a single interval, so
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{(0)} f_0 \left( p_0 + b^{a_0}p_{-1}(x-1) \right) \frac{b^{a_0}}{(q_0+b^{a_0}q_{-1}(x-1))^2} \]
\[ = f_0 \left( \frac{1 + (1)(1)(x-1)}{1 + (1)(0)(x-1)} \right) \frac{1}{(1 + (1)(0)(x-1))^2} = f_0(x). \]
Now suppose (21) holds for \( n \). Then
\[
f_{n+1}(x)
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^2} f_n \left( 1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{x + \ell - 1} \right)
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^2} \sum_{a_j=0}^{(n)} f_0 \left( p_n + b^{a_n} p_{n-1} (1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{x + \ell - 1}) \right) \left( q_n + b^{a_n} q_{n-1} (1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{x + \ell - 1}) \right) \left( q_n + b^{a_n} q_{n-1} (1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{x + \ell - 1}) \right)^2
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \sum_{a_j=0}^{(n)} f_0 \left( p_n b^k (x + \ell - 1) + b^{a_n} p_{n-1} \right) \left( q_n b^k (x + \ell - 1) + b^{a_n} q_{n-1} \right) \left( b_{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j} b^k \right)
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \sum_{a_j=0}^{(n)} f_0 \left( (\ell b^k p_n + b^{a_n} p_{n-1} + b^k p_n (x - 1)) \right) \left( (\ell b^k q_n + b^{a_n} q_{n-1} + b^k q_n (x - 1)) \right) \left( b_{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j} b^k \right)
= \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \sum_{a_j=0}^{(n)} f_0 \left( (c_{n+1} b^{a_{n+1}} p_n + b^{a_n} p_{n-1} + b^{a_{n+1}} p_n (x - 1)) \right) \left( (c_{n+1} b^{a_{n+1}} q_n + b^{a_n} q_{n-1} + b^{a_{n+1}} q_n (x - 1)) \right) \left( b_{\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_j} b^{a_{n+1}} \right)
= \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \sum_{a_j=0}^{(n+1)} f_0 \left( p_{n+1} + b^{a_{n+1}} p_n (x - 1) \right) \left( q_{n+1} + b^{a_{n+1}} q_n (x - 1) \right) \left( b_{\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_j} b^{a_{n+1}} q_n (x - 1) \right)^2,
\]
so the result follows by induction.

**Lemma 32.** If \((f_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in A^*\), then for \( n \geq 0 \),
\[
|f'_n(x)| \leq \frac{3\mu}{2n^{3/2}} + 4M.
\]

**Proof.** Differentiate (21) termwise, letting \( u = \frac{p_n + b^{a_n} p_{n-1} (x - 1)}{q_n + b^{a_n} q_{n-1} (x - 1)} \), to get
\[
f'_n(x) = \sum_{u=0}^{(n)} f'_0(u) \left( \frac{(\ell b^k p_n + b^{a_n} p_{n-1} + b^k p_n (x - 1))}{(q_n + b^{a_n} q_{n-1} (x - 1))^4} - 2 \sum_{a_j=0}^{(n)} f_0(u) \left( \frac{b^{a_n} q_{n-1} b_{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n^4} \right) \right)
= \sum_{u=0}^{(n)} f'_0(u) \left( \frac{(-1)^{n-1} b^2 \sum_{j=0}^{a_n} a_j}{q_n^{n+1}} \right) - 2 \sum_{u=0}^{(n)} f_0(u) \left( \frac{b^{a_n} q_{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}{q_n^3} \right)
\]

The validity of termwise differentiation follows from the uniform convergence of both sums on the right hand side for \( 1 \leq x \leq 2 \). Notice that
\[
\frac{(-1)^{n-1} b^2 \sum_{j=0}^{a_n} a_j}{q_n^{n+1}} \leq \frac{2 b_{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n^{2(n-1)/2}} \leq \frac{4M}{2n^{1/2}}
\]
by Lemma 6, Lemma 5, and the fact that \( q_n + b^{a_n} q_{n-1} \leq 2q_n \). Additionally,
\[
\frac{b^{a_n} q_{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}{q_n^3} \leq \frac{2 b_{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n^{2(n-1)/2}} \leq \frac{4M}{2n^{1/2}}
\]
since \( b^{a_n} q_{n-1} \leq q_n \) and \( q_n + b^{a_n} q_{n-1} \leq 2q_n \). Since \((f_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in A^*\), we have by Definition 18 that \(|f_0(x)| < M \) and \(|f'_0(x)| < \mu \) for all \( x \in (1, 2) \). Thus we have by (22), (23), (24), and Lemma 30,
\[
|f'_n(x)| \leq \sum_{u=0}^{(n)} |f'_0(u)| \left( \frac{(-1)^{n-1} b^2 \sum_{j=0}^{a_n} a_j}{q_n^{n+1}} \right) + 2 \sum_{u=0}^{(n)} |f_0(u)| \left( \frac{b^{a_n} q_{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}{q_n^3} \right)
\leq \frac{2\mu}{2^{(n-1)/2}} + 4M \sum_{u=0}^{(n)} b_{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j} + 4M \sum_{u=0}^{(n)} b_{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}
= \frac{2\mu}{2^{(n-1)/2}} + 4M \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mu}{2n^{1/2}} + 4M \right) < \frac{3\mu}{2n^{1/2}} + 4M.
\]
Lemma 33. If \((f_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^*\) and for some constants \(T > t > 0\),
\[
\frac{t}{x(x + b - 1)} < f_n(x) < \frac{T}{x(x + b - 1)} \quad \forall x \in (1, 2),
\]
then
\[
\frac{t}{x(x + b - 1)} < f_{n+1}(x) < \frac{T}{x(x + b - 1)} \quad \forall x \in (1, 2).
\]

Proof. By (20) and Lemma 28 we have
\[
f_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^2} f_n \left( 1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{x + \ell - 1} \right)
\]
and a similar derivation shows
\[
f_{n+1}(x) < \frac{T}{x(x + b - 1)},
\]
from which the result follows.

Lemma 34. If \((f_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^*\) then for all \(n \geq 0\),
\[
\int_1^2 f_n(z) \, dz = \int_1^2 f_0(z) \, dz.
\]

Proof. Notice that
\[
(1, 2] = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{b-1} (1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}, 1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}],
\]
where the intervals are pairwise disjoint. We then have, by (20) that
\[
\int_1^2 f_n(z) \, dz = \int_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(z + \ell - 1)^2} f_{n-1} \left( 1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{z + \ell - 1} \right) \, dz
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \int_{1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k}}^{1+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k}} -f_{n-1}(u) \, du
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \int_{1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k}}^{1+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k}} f_{n-1}(u) \, du
\]
\[
= \int_1^2 f_{n-1}(u) \, du,
\]
from which the result follows by induction.
Lemma 35. Suppose \((f_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^*\) and there are constants \(g, G > 0\) such that for all \(x \in (1, 2)\),

\[
g \frac{x}{x(x + b - 1)} < f_0(x) < \frac{G}{x(x + b - 1)}.
\]

(25)

Then there exist \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(g_1, G_1 > 0\) such that

\[
g \frac{g_1}{x(x + b - 1)} < f_n(x) < \frac{G_1}{x(x + b - 1)},
\]

(26)

\[
g < g_1 < G_1 < G,
\]

(27)

and

\[
G_1 - g_1 < (G - g)\delta + 2^{-n/2}(\mu + G),
\]

(28)

where \(\delta = 1 - \frac{1}{4(b-1)} \log \frac{2b}{b+1}\).

Proof. First define

\[
\varphi_n(x) = f_n(x) - \frac{g}{x(x + b - 1)}, \quad \psi_n(x) = \frac{G}{x(x + b - 1)} - f_n(x),
\]

(29)

which are both positive functions by (25) and Lemma 33. Notice that for the functions \(h(x) = \frac{g}{x(x + b - 1)}\) and \(H(x) = \frac{G}{x(x + b - 1)}\), we have by Lemma 28 that

\[
h(x) = \frac{g}{x(x + b - 1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^2} \left(1 + b^{-k}(x + \ell - 1)^{-1}(b + b^{-k}(x + \ell - 1)^{-1})\right)
\]

and similarly

\[
H(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^2} H\left(1 + b^{-k}\right)
\]

Thus for \(n \geq 1\), we have

\[
\varphi_{n+1}(x) = f_{n+1}(x) - h(x)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^2} f_n\left(1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{x + \ell - 1}\right) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^2} h\left(1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{x + \ell - 1}\right)
\]

and similarly

\[
\psi_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{b^{-k}}{(x + \ell - 1)^2} \varphi_n\left(1 + \frac{b^{-k}}{x + \ell - 1}\right),
\]

Thus \((\varphi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (\psi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^*\), so by Lemma 31, setting \(u = \frac{p_n + b\alpha_n p_{n-1}(x-1)}{q_n + b\alpha_n q_{n-1}(x-1)}\), we get

\[
\varphi_n(x) = \sum_{u} \varphi_0(u) \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_j}}{(q_n + b\alpha_n q_{n-1}(x-1))^2} \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{u} \varphi_0(u) \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_j}}{q_n^2},
\]

(30)
and similarly
\[ \psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0}^{(n)} \psi_0(u) \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n^2}, \]  
(31)
since
\[ q_n + b^a n q_{n-1} (x - 1) \leq 2q_n \quad \forall x \in (1, 2). \]

On the other hand, the mean value theorem gives
\[ \frac{1}{4} \int_1^2 \varphi_0(z) \, dz = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0}^{(n)} \varphi_0(u_1) \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n (q_n + b^a n q_{n-1})}, \]  
(32)
and
\[ \frac{1}{4} \int_1^2 \psi_0(z) \, dz = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0}^{(n)} \psi_0(u_2) \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n (q_n + b^a n q_{n-1})}, \]  
(33)
where for each interval \((\frac{p_n}{q_n}, \frac{p_n + b^a n p_{n-1}}{q_n})\) of rank \(n\), \(u_1\) and \(u_2\) are points in the interval and the length of the interval is \(\frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n (q_n + b^a n q_{n-1})}\). From (30) and (32) we then get
\[ \varphi_n(x) - \frac{1}{4} \int_1^2 \varphi_0(z) \, dz \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0}^{(n)} [\varphi_0(u) - \varphi_0(u_1)] \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n (q_n + b^a n q_{n-1})}, \]  
(34)
and from (31) and (33), we get
\[ \psi_n(x) - \frac{1}{4} \int_1^2 \psi_0(z) \, dz \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0}^{(n)} [\psi_0(u) - \psi_0(u_2)] \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n (q_n + b^a n q_{n-1})}. \]  
(35)
Now for \(1 \leq x \leq 2\), we have \(|\varphi_0(x)| \leq |f_0'(x)| + g \leq \mu + g\) and \(|\psi_0(x)| \leq |f_0''(x)| + G \leq \mu + G\), so it follows by Lemma 6 that
\[ |\varphi_0(u_1) - \varphi_0(u)| \leq (\mu + g)|u_1 - u| \leq (\mu + g) \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n (q_n + b^a n q_{n-1})} \leq \frac{\mu + g}{q_n} \leq \frac{2\mu + g}{2^{n/2}}, \]  
(36)
and similarly
\[ |\psi_0(u_2) - \psi_0(u)| \leq \frac{2\mu + G}{2^{n/2}}. \]  
(37)
Then by Lemma 30, (34) and (36) give
\[ \varphi_n(x) \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_1^2 \varphi_0(z) \, dz - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0}^{(n)} [\varphi_0(u_1) - \varphi_0(u)] \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n (q_n + b^a n q_{n-1})} \]
\[ \geq \ell - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0}^{(n)} |\varphi_0(u_1) - \varphi_0(u)| \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n (q_n + b^a n q_{n-1})} \]
\[ \geq \ell - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\mu + g}{2^{n/2}} \sum_{0}^{(n)} \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j}}{q_n (q_n + b^a n q_{n-1})} = \ell - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\mu + g}{2^{n/2}} = \ell - \frac{\mu + g}{2^{n/2+1}}, \]
where \(\ell = \frac{1}{4} \int_1^2 \varphi_0(z) \, dz\). Similarly, (35) and (37) give
\[ \psi_n(x) \geq L - \frac{G + \mu}{2^{n/2+1}}, \]
where \( L = \frac{1}{4} \int_1^2 \psi_0(z) \, dz \). Now by (29), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_n(x) &= \frac{g}{x(x + b - 1)} + \varphi_n(x) > \frac{g}{x(x + b - 1)} + \ell - \frac{\mu + g}{2^{n/2} + 1} \\
  &= \frac{g + \ell - 2^{-n/2-1}(\mu + g)}{x(x + b - 1)} = \frac{g_1}{x(x + b - 1)},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( g_1 = g + \ell - 2^{-n/2}(\mu + g) \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_n(x) &= \frac{G}{x(x + b - 1)} - \psi_n(x) < \frac{G}{x(x + b - 1)} - L + \frac{\mu + G}{2^{n/2} + 1} \\
  &= \frac{G - \ell + 2^{-n/2-1}(\mu + G)}{x(x + b - 1)} = \frac{G_1}{x(x + b - 1)},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( G_1 = G - L + 2^{-n/2}(\mu + G) \). Now since \( \ell, L > 0 \), we can choose \( n \) sufficiently large so that \( 2^{-n/2-1}(\mu + g) < \ell \) and \( 2^{-n/2-1}(\mu + G) < L \), so that we get

\[
  g < g_1 < G_1 < G.
\]

Thus by (38), (39), and (40), we have found \( g_1, G_1, \) and \( n \) that satisfy (26) and (27). Notice that we also have

\[
  G_1 - g_1 = G - g - (L + \ell) + 2^{-n/2-1}(2\mu + g + G) < G - g - (L + \ell) + 2^{-n/2}(\mu + G).
\]

Now since

\[
  \ell + L = \frac{1}{4} \int_1^2 \frac{G - g}{x(x + b - 1)} \, dx = (G - g) \frac{1}{4(b - 1)} \log \frac{2b}{b + 1},
\]

(41) becomes

\[
  G_1 - g_1 < \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4(b - 1)} \log \frac{2b}{b + 1} \right) (G - g) + 2^{-n/2}(\mu + G) = \delta(G - g) + 2^{-n/2}(\mu + G),
\]

so we see that \( g_1, G_1, \) and \( n \) also satisfy (28), completing the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark 11.** Notice that the value of \( n \) chosen depends only on the values of \( \mu \) and \( G \), and that if we make \( 0 < \mu_1 < \mu \) and \( 0 < G_1 < G \), the value of \( n \) chosen for \( \mu \) and \( G \) will also work for \( \mu_1 \) and \( G_1 \). In other words, we can make \( \mu \) and \( G \) smaller without having to increase \( n \). This will be useful in the proof of the Theorem 36.

**Theorem 36.** Suppose \( (f_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in A^* \). Then there exist constants \( \lambda, A > 0 \) such that for all \( n \geq 0 \) and \( x \in (1,2) \),

\[
  \left| f_n(x) - \frac{a}{x(x + b - 1)} \right| < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}},
\]

where

\[
  a = \frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b + 1}} \int_0^2 f_0(z) \, dz.
\]
Proof. By assumption, \( f_0 \) is differentiable and continuous on \([1, 2]\), so there is some constant \( m > 0 \) such that \( m < f_0(x) < M \) for all \( x \in [1, 2] \). Then since \( \frac{1}{2(b+1)} < \frac{1}{x(x+b-1)} < \frac{1}{b} \) for all \( x \in (1, 2) \), we have

\[
\frac{bm}{x(x+b-1)} < f_0(x) < \frac{2(b+1)M}{x(x+b-1)} \quad \forall x \in (1, 2).
\]

Thus let \( g = bm \) and \( G = 2(b+1)M \) and apply Lemma 35 to \( f_0, g, \) and \( G \), to get \( g_1, G_1, \) and \( n \) such that

\[
\frac{g_1}{x(x+b-1)} < f_n(x) < \frac{G_1}{x(x+b-1)} \quad \forall x \in (1, 2),
\]

and

\[
g < g_1 < G_1 < G.
\]

By Lemma 32, \( |f'_0(x)| < \mu_1 = \frac{3\mu}{2\mu} + 4M \), and we can arrange to have \( \mu_1 < \mu \) by making \( \mu \) and \( n \) sufficiently large. (By Remark 11, the results above are still valid for the new values of \( \mu \) and \( n \).) We can then apply Lemma 35 again with \( f_n, g_1, \) and \( G_1 \) instead of \( f_0, g, \) and \( G \). This gives us new constants \( g_2 \) and \( G_2 \) such that (again due to Remark 11),

\[
\frac{g_2}{x(x+b-1)} < f_{2n}(x) < \frac{G_2}{x(x+b-1)} \quad \forall x \in (1, 2),
\]

and

\[
g < g_1 < g_2 < G_2 < G_1 < G.
\]

Repeating this in a similar fashion gives, in general, constants \( g_r, G_r \) such that

\[
\frac{g_r}{x(x+b-1)} < f_{nr}(x) < \frac{G_r}{x(x+b-1)} \quad \forall x \in (1, 2),
\]

\[
g < g_1 < \cdots < g_{r-1} < g_r < G_r < G_{r-1} < \cdots < G_1 < G,
\]

and

\[
G_r - g_r < \delta(G_{r-1} - g_{r-1}) + 2^{-rn/2}(\mu_{r-1} + G_{r-1}),
\]

where \( \mu_{r-1} \) is a constant such that \( |f'_n(x)| < \mu_{r-1} \) for all \( x \in (1, 2) \). By Lemma 32, we can take \( \mu_r = \frac{3\mu}{2\mu} + 4M \), and then can choose \( r_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \mu_{r-1} < 5M \) for all \( r \geq r_0 \). Then since \( G_r < G = 2(b+1)M \), we have

\[
G_r - g_r < \delta(G_{r-1} - g_{r-1}) + (2b + 7)M2^{-nr/2} = \delta(G_{r-1} - g_{r-1}) + M_12^{-nr/2},
\]

for all \( r \geq r_0 \) where \( M_1 = (2b + 7)M \). We now claim that for all \( k \geq 0 \),

\[
G_{r_0+k} - g_{r_0+k} < \delta^k(G - g) + \delta^k M_12^{-nr_0/2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (2^{-nj/2}\delta^{-j}).
\]

For \( k = 0 \), from (42), we have

\[
G_{r_0} - g_{r_0} < \delta(G_{r_0-1} - g_{r_0-1}) + M_12^{-nr_0/2} \quad (G - g) + M_12^{-nr_0/2}
\]

\[
= \delta^0(G - g) + M_1\delta^0 2^{-nr_0/2} \sum_{j=0}^{0} 2^{-nj/2}\delta^{-j}.
\]
Now suppose (43) holds for $k$. Notice that
\[ M_12^{-n(r_0+k+1)/2} = M_1\delta^{k+1}2^{-n(r_0+k+1)/2}\delta^{-(k+1)}, \]
so by (42),
\[ G_{r_0+k+1} - g_{r_0+k+1} < \delta(G_{r_0+k} - g_{r_0+k}) + M_12^{-n(r_0+k+1)/2} \]
\[ < \delta \left( \delta^k(G - g) + M_1\delta^{k+1}2^{-n(r_0+k+1)/2} \right) \]
\[ = \delta^{k+1}(G - g) + M_1\delta^{k+1}2^{-n(r_0+k+1)/2} \]
\[ = \delta^{k+1}(G - g) + M_1\delta^{k+1}2^{-n(r_0+k+1)/2} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} 2^{-nj/2}\delta^{-j}, \]
so (43) follows by induction.

Now notice that for $k > 0$,
\[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} 2^{-nj/2}\delta^{-j} < \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{n/2}\delta\right)^{-j} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{1/2}\delta\right)^{-j} = \gamma < \infty, \]
since $2^{1/2}\delta = \sqrt{2}(1 - \frac{1}{4(b-1)} \log \frac{2b}{b+1}) > \sqrt{2}(1 - \frac{1}{4} \log 2) > 1$. (43) then becomes
\[ G_{r_0+k} - g_{r_0+k} < \delta^k(G - g + M_12^{-n(r_0+2)\gamma}) = \delta^k c, \]
where $c > 0$ is a constant. Then for $r \geq r_0$, we have
\[ G_r - g_r < \delta^{r-r_0} c = \delta^r (\delta^{r_0} c) = \delta^r d, \]
where again, $d > 0$ is a constant. Finally, since $\delta < 1$, we can choose $B, \lambda > 0$ such that $G_r - g_r < Be^{-\lambda r}$. Thus there is clearly some common limit
\[ a = \lim_{r \to \infty} g_r = \lim_{r \to \infty} G_r, \]
and we have (setting $r = n$) that
\[ \left| f_{n^2}(x) - \frac{a}{x(x+b-1)} \right| < Be^{-\lambda n} \quad \forall x \in (1, 2). \] (44)
Thus we have
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{1}^{2} f_{n^2}(z) \, dz = \int_{1}^{2} \frac{a}{x(x+b-1)} = \frac{a}{b-1} \log \frac{2b}{b+1}, \]
so by Lemma 34, \[ \int_{1}^{2} f_0(z) \, dz = \frac{a}{b-1} \log \frac{2b}{b+1} \] and thus
\[ a = \frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \int_{1}^{2} f_0(z) \, dz. \]
Now for arbitrary \( N \geq r_0^2 \), we can choose \( n \geq r_0 \) such that \( n^2 \leq N < (n+1)^2 \). We then have, by (44),

\[
\frac{a - 2(b+1)Be^{-\lambda n}}{x(x+b-1)} < \frac{2(\lambda n - f_n(x))}{x(x+b-1)} < \frac{2(\lambda n + b)Be^{-\lambda n}}{x(x+b-1)},
\]

for all \( x \in (1,2) \). Then by Lemma 33,

\[
\frac{a - 2(b+1)Be^{-\lambda n}}{x(x+b-1)} < f_N(x) < \frac{a + 2(b+1)Be^{-\lambda n}}{x(x+b-1)},
\]

so

\[
\left| f_N(x) - \frac{a}{x(x+b-1)} \right| < 2(\lambda n + b)Be^{-\lambda n} = 2(b+1)Be^{-\lambda (n+1)} < A'e^{-\lambda \sqrt{N}},
\]

where \( A' = 2(b+1)Be^\lambda \) is a constant. Now for \( 0 \leq N < r_0^2 \), note that each \( f_N \) is continuous (since \( f_0 \) is differentiable and thus continuous and \( f_{N+1} \) is an absolutely convergent sum of continuous transformations of \( f_N \)). Thus we can choose \( A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{r_0^2-1} \) such that for \( 0 \leq N \leq r_0^2 - 1 \)

\[
\left| f_N(x) - \frac{a}{x(x+b-1)} \right| < A_n e^{-\lambda \sqrt{N}} \quad \forall x \in (1,2), \quad \forall N \in \{0,1,\ldots,r_0^2-1\}
\]

for all \( x \in (1,2) \). Finally, take \( A = \max\{A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{r_0^2-1}, A'\} \), so we have

\[
\left| f_N(x) - \frac{a}{x(x+b-1)} \right| < A e^{-\lambda \sqrt{N}} \quad \forall x \in (1,2) \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},
\]

proving the theorem. \( \square \)

**Corollary 37.** There exist constants \( \lambda, A > 0 \) such that for all \( n \geq 0 \) and \( x \in (1,2) \),

\[
\left| m'_n(x) - \frac{a}{x(x+b-1)} \right| < A e^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}},
\]

where

\[
a = \frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}.
\]

**Proof.** By Theorem 29, \( (m'_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in A^{**} \). Then Theorem 36 gives constants \( A, \lambda > 0 \) such that

\[
\left| m'_n(x) - \frac{a}{x(x+b-1)} \right| < A e^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}},
\]

where

\[
a = \frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \int_1^2 m'_0(z) \, dz = \frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \int_1^2 1 \, dz = \frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}},
\]

proving the corollary. \( \square \)

Our main goals, Theorems 17 and 20, follow easily from Corollary 37.
**Theorem 17** (Restated). There exist constants $A, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$|m_n(x) - \frac{\log \frac{bx}{x+b-1}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}| < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $x \in (1, 2)$.

**Proof.** First note that since $m_n(1) = 0$ for all $n$, so by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$m_n(x) = m_n(1) + \int_1^x m_n'(z) \, dz = \int_1^x m_n'(z) \, dz.$$

Thus

$$\int_1^x m_n'(z) \, dz - \frac{b-1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \int_1^x \frac{1}{z(z+b-1)} \, dz = m_n(x) - \frac{b-1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} m_n(x) = m_n(x) - \frac{\log \frac{bx}{x+b-1}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}.$$

Then by Theorem 36, we have

$$\left| m_n(x) - \frac{\log \frac{bx}{x+b-1}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \right| = \left| \int_1^x m_n'(z) - \frac{b-1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \frac{1}{z(z+b-1)} \, dz \right| \leq \int_1^x \left| m_n'(z) - \frac{b-1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \frac{1}{z(z+b-1)} \right| \, dz < \int_1^x Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}} = (x-1)Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}} < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}}.$$

\[\square\]

**Theorem 20** (Restated). There exist constants $A, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathcal{M}(D_n(k, \ell)) - \frac{\log \frac{(b^{k+1})(\ell+1) b^{k+1}}{(b^{k+1})(\ell+1) b^{k+1}}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \right| < \frac{Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n+1-\ell}}}{\ell(\ell+1) b^k}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 19,

$$\mathcal{M}D_n(k, \ell) = m_{n-1}(1 + \ell^{-1} b^{-k}) - m_{n-1}(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1} b^{-k}) = \int_{1+\ell^{-1} b^{-k}}^{1+\ell^{-1} b^{-k}} m_{n-1}'(z) \, dz.$$

Then by Corollary 37, it follows that there are constants $A, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{1+(\ell+1)^{-1} b^{-k}}^{1+\ell^{-1} b^{-k}} m_{n-1}'(z) \, dz - \frac{b-1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \int_{1+(\ell+1)^{-1} b^{-k}}^{1+\ell^{-1} b^{-k}} \frac{1}{z(z+b-1)} \, dz \right| \leq \int_{1+(\ell+1)^{-1} b^{-k}}^{1+\ell^{-1} b^{-k}} \left| m_{n-1}'(z) - \frac{b-1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \frac{1}{z(z+b-1)} \right| \, dz \leq \int_{1+(\ell+1)^{-1} b^{-k}}^{1+\ell^{-1} b^{-k}} Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n-1}} \, dz = (\ell-1 b^{-k} - (\ell + 1)^{-1} b^{-k})Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n-1}} = \frac{Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n-1}}}{\ell(\ell+1) b^k}.$$
Finally, since
\[
\frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \int_{1+/(\ell+1)-1/b}^{1+/(\ell+1)-1/b} \frac{1}{z(z+b-1)} \, dz = \frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \log \frac{1+/(\ell+1)-1/b}{1+/(\ell+1)-1/b} \frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \frac{1}{z(z+b-1)} \, dz
\]
we have
\[
\left| MD_n(k, \ell) - \frac{\log \frac{(b^k+1)((\ell+1)b^k+1)}{(b^k+1)((\ell+1)b^k+1)}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \right| = \left| \int_{1+/(\ell+1)-1/b}^{1+/(\ell+1)-1/b} m'_{n-1}(z) - \frac{b - 1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \frac{1}{z(z+b-1)} \, dz \right|
\]
\[
< Ae^{-\lambda\sqrt{n-1}} \ell(\ell+1)b^k.
\]

\[\square\]

Appendix B: Proof of the Type III Logarithmic Khinchine Constant

This appendix is devoted to proving Theorems 22 and 23, restated below. Note that the proofs in this appendix rely on certain results from Appendix A.

**Theorem 22 (Restated).** For almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) with continued logarithm \([1, c_1b^{a_1}, c_2b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{c_3(b)}\) we have
\[
P_\alpha(k, \ell) = \frac{\log \frac{(1+/(\ell+1)-1/b)(b+(\ell+1)-1/b)}{(b+/(\ell+1)-1/b)(1+/(\ell+1)-1/b)}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}
\]
for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( \ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b - 1\} \).

**Theorem 23 (Restated).** For almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) with continued logarithm \([1, c_1b^{a_1}, c_2b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{c_3(b)}\) we have
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \prod_{n=1}^{N} (c_n b^{a_n}) \right)^{1/N} = b^{A_b},
\]
where
\[
A_b = \frac{1}{\log b \log b + \frac{b}{2b}} \sum_{\ell=2}^{b} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right).
\]

**Definition 19.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{N}, j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n \in \mathbb{N} \) be distinct, \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), and \( \ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b - 1\} \). Define
\[
E \left( j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \right) = \left\{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : a_{j_1} = k_1, a_{j_2} = k_2, \ldots, a_{j_n} = k_n, c_{j_1} = \ell_1, c_{j_2} = \ell_2, \ldots, c_{j_n} = \ell_n \right\}.
\]

**Remark 12.** We will always assume that \( j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_n \), in which case \( E \left( j_1, \ldots, j_n, k_1, \ldots, k_n, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \right) \) is a countable union of intervals of rank \( j_n \).
Theorem 38. There exist constants $A, \lambda > 0$ such that for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $j_1 < \cdots < j_m < j \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1, \ldots, k_m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m, \ell \in \{1, \ldots, b - 1\}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M} E \left( \frac{j_1, \ldots, j_m, j}{k_1, \ldots, k_m, k} \right) & \leq \frac{\log \left( \frac{(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(-1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{(b+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(1+(-1)^{-1}b^{-k})} \right)}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \mathcal{M} E \left( \frac{j_1, \ldots, j_m}{k_1, \ldots, k_m, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m} \right) \\
& < \frac{A e^{-\lambda \sqrt{J - j - 1}}}{\ell (\ell + 1) b^k}.
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First fix some interval $J = J_n \left( k_1, \ldots, k_m \right)$ of rank $m$. Let

$$
M_n(x) = \mathcal{M} \left\{ \alpha \in J : z_{m+n} < x \right\}.
$$

In order to have $\alpha \in M_n(x)$ with $a_{m+n} = k$ and $c_{m+n} = \ell$, we must have $1 + (x + \ell - 1)^{-1}b^{-k} < z_{m+n-1} \leq 1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}$ (similar to in (13)). It follows that

$$
M_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} M_{n-1}(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}) - M_{n-1}(1 + (x + \ell - 1)^{-1}b^{-k}),
$$

so that $(M'_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^*$. Now by Lemma 13, an arbitrary $\alpha \in J$ can be written as

$$
\alpha = \frac{p_m r_{m+1} + b^m p_{m-1}}{q_m r_{m+1} + b^m q_{m-1}},
$$

or since $r_{m+1} = \frac{1}{z_{m-1}}$,

$$
\alpha = \frac{p_m + b^m p_{m-1}(z_m - 1)}{q_m + b^m q_{m-1}(z_m - 1)}.
$$

To have $1 < z_m < x$, we must have

$$
\alpha \in \left( \frac{p_m}{q_m}, \frac{p_m + b^m p_{m-1}(x - 1)}{q_m + b^m q_{m-1}(x - 1)} \right).
$$

Thus

$$
M_0(x) = \left| \frac{p_m}{q_m} - \frac{p_m + b^m p_{m-1}(x - 1)}{q_m + b^m q_{m-1}(x - 1)} \right| = \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j} (x - 1)}{q_m (q_m + b^m q_{m-1} (x - 1))}. \tag{46}
$$

Now define

$$
\chi_n(x) = \frac{M_n(x)}{\mathcal{M} J},
$$

and note that $(\chi'_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^*$, since $(M'_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in A^*$ and

$$
\mathcal{M} J = \left| \frac{p_m}{q_m} - \frac{p_m + b^m p_{m-1}}{q_m + b^m q_{m-1}} \right| = \frac{b^{\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j}}{q_m (q_m + b^m q_{m-1})} \tag{47}
$$

is a constant. Now by (46) and (47), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_0(x) & = \frac{(q_m + b^m q_{m-1}) (x - 1)}{q_m + b^m q_{m-1} (x - 1)}, \\
\chi'_0(x) & = \frac{q_m (q_m + b^m q_{m-1})}{(q_m + b^m q_{m-1} (x - 1))^2}, \\
\chi''_0(x) & = -\frac{2q_m b^m q_{m-1} (q_m + b^m q_{m-1})}{(q_m + b^m q_{m-1} (x - 1))^3}.
\end{align*}
$$
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Thus for \(1 \leq x \leq 2\), we have \(\chi'_0(x) < \frac{2q_n^2}{q_n^2} = 2\), \(\chi'_0(x) > \frac{q_n^2}{(2q_n)^2} = \frac{1}{4}\), and \(|\chi''_0(x)| < \frac{4q_n^3}{q_n^2} = 4\), so \((\chi'_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in A^{**}\). It then follows from Theorem 36 that there are constants \(A, \lambda > 0\) such that

\[
\left| \frac{\chi'_n(x) - \frac{a}{x(x + b - 1)}}{x} \right| < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}}\]  

for all \(n \geq 0\) and \(x \in (1, 2)\), or equivalently there exist functions \(\theta_n : (1, 2) \to (-1, 1)\) such that

\[
\chi'_n(x) - \frac{a}{x(x + b - 1)} + \theta_n(x) Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}}
\]

for all \(n \geq 0\) and \(x \in (1, 2)\). We then have, for \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) and \(\ell \in \{1, \ldots, b - 1\}\), that

\[
\chi_n(1 + \ell - 1b^{-k}) - \chi_n(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k})
\]

\[
= \int_{1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}}^{1 + \ell - 1b^{-k}} \chi'_n(x) \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_{1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}}^{1 + \ell - 1b^{-k}} \frac{a}{x(x + b - 1)} \, dx + \theta_n(x) Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}} \int_{1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}}^{1 + \ell - 1b^{-k}} \, dx.
\]

Now

\[
\left| \int_{1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}}^{1 + \ell - 1b^{-k}} \theta_n(x) \, dx \right| \leq \int_{1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}}^{1 + \ell - 1b^{-k}} |\theta_n(x)| \, dx < \int_{1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}}^{1 + \ell - 1b^{-k}} 1 \, dx = \frac{1}{(\ell + 1)b^k},
\]

so there exist functions \(\gamma_n : (1, 2) \to (-1, 1)\) such that

\[
\int_{1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}}^{1 + \ell - 1b^{-k}} \theta_n(x) \, dx = \frac{\gamma_n(x)}{\ell(\ell + 1)b^k}.
\]

Then since \(\mathcal{M}E\left(1, k_1, \ldots, k_m, \frac{m+n}{\ell_1}, \ldots, \frac{m+n}{\ell_{m+n}}\right) = M_{n-1}(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}) - M_{n-1}(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k})\),

\[
\mathcal{M}E\left(1, k_1, \ldots, k_m, \frac{k_{m+n}}{\ell_1}, \ldots, \frac{k_{m+n}}{\ell_{m+n}}\right) = \frac{\log(\frac{(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k})})}{\log 2\frac{b}{b+1}} + \frac{\gamma_n(x) Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n-1}}}{\ell(\ell + 1)b^k} \cdot \mathcal{M}E\left(1, k_1, \ldots, k_m\right).
\]

Now we can sum this relationship for \(k_j\) from 0 to \(\infty\) and \(\ell_j\) from 1 to \(b - 1\) for certain indices \(j \leq m\). The indices we sum over will cancel from both sides, and we are left with an arbitrary sequence of subscripts \(1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_t = m\). Then if we let \(j = m + n\), we get

\[
\left| \mathcal{M}E\left(1, k_1, \ldots, k_m, \frac{j}{\ell_1}, \ldots, \frac{j}{\ell_{m+n}}\right) - \frac{\log(\frac{(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{(1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k})})}{\log 2\frac{b}{b+1}} \mathcal{M}E\left(1, k_1, \ldots, k_m\right) \right| < \frac{Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{j - m}}}{\ell(\ell + 1)b^k},
\]
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completing the proof. □

**Theorem 39.** Suppose \( f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{1, \ldots, b-1\} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a positive function for which there exist constants \( C, \delta > 0 \) such that

\[
f(s, t) < C(tb^s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta}
\]

for all \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( t \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\} \). Then for almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \),

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(a_n, c_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} f(k, \ell) \frac{\log (1 + (\ell-1)b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)-1)b^{-k})}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}.
\]

**Proof.** First define

\[
u_k = \int_1^2 f(a_k, c_k) \, d\alpha,
\]

\[
b_k = \int_1^2 (f(a_k, c_k) - u_k)^2 \, d\alpha,
\]

\[
g_{ik} = \int_1^2 (f(a_i, c_i) - u_i)(f(a_k, c_k) - u_k) \, d\alpha,
\]

\[
S_n(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (f(a_k, c_k) - u_k).
\]

Notice that the integral \( u_k \) is finite for all \( k \), since

\[
u_k = \int_1^2 f(a_k, c_k) \, d\alpha = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{b-1} f(s, t) MD_n(s, t)
\]

\[
< \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{b-1} C(tb^s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta}(2t^{-2}b^{-s}) = 2C \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{b-1} t^{-1}(tb^s)^{-1-\delta} < \infty.
\]

Furthermore,

\[
\int_1^2 f_n(a_k, c_k)^2 \, d\alpha = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{b-1} f(s, t)^2 MD_n(s, t) < \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{b-1} C^2(tb^s)^{1-2\delta}(2t^{-2}b^{-s})
\]

\[
= 2C^2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{b-1} t^{-1}(tb^s)^{-2\delta} = C_1 < \infty,
\]

so

\[
b_k = \int_1^2 (f(a_k, c_k) - u_k)^2 \, d\alpha = \int_1^2 f(a_k, c_k)^2 \, d\alpha - 2u_k \int_1^2 f(a_k, c_k) \, d\alpha + u_k^2 < C_1 - u_k \leq C_1 < \infty,
\]

and by the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality,

\[
u_k = \int_1^2 f(a_k, c_k) \, d\alpha < \sqrt{\int_1^2 f(a_k, c_k)^2 \, d\alpha} < \sqrt{C_1}.
\]

Furthermore, for \( k > i \), we have

\[
g_{ik} = \int_1^2 f(a_i, c_i) f(a_k, c_k) \, d\alpha - c_k u_k = \sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_1=1}^{b-1} \sum_{s_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=1}^{b-1} f(s_1, t_1) f(s_2, t_2) ME \left( s_1 \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_2 \\ t_1 \\ t_2 \end{array} \right) - u_i u_k.
\]
Now by Theorem 38 and Corollary 15,

\[
\left| ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) - \frac{\log \left( (1+t_1 t_2)^{-1} b^{-s_2} \right)}{(b+t_2^{-1} b^{-s_2}) (1+(t_2+1)^{-1} b^{-s_2})} ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) \right| < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{k-1-1}} ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right),
\]

and by Theorem 20 and Corollary 15,

\[
\left| ME \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ 
\end{array} \right) - \frac{\log \left( (1+t_1 t_2)^{-1} b^{-s_2} \right)}{(b+t_2^{-1} b^{-s_2}) (1+(t_2+1)^{-1} b^{-s_2})} \right| < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{k-2-1}} ME \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ 
\end{array} \right).
\]

Now by (51) and (52), letting \( v = \frac{\log \left( (1+t_1 t_2)^{-1} b^{-s_2} \right)}{(b+t_2^{-1} b^{-s_2}) (1+(t_2+1)^{-1} b^{-s_2})} \), we get

\[
\left| ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) - ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) \right| \leq \left| ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) - v ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) \right| + v ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) - ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) \right| \leq 8 Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{k-2-1}} ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right).
\]

Then by (50) and (53), we get

\[
| g_{ik} - \sum_{s_1=0}^{b-1} \sum_{t_1=1}^{b-1} \sum_{s_2=0}^{b-1} \sum_{t_2=1}^{b-1} f(s_1, t_1) f(s_2, t_2) ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) | ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) + u_i u_k |
\]

\[
< 8 Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{k-2-1}} \sum_{s_1=0}^{b-1} \sum_{t_1=1}^{b-1} \sum_{s_2=0}^{b-1} \sum_{t_2=1}^{b-1} f(s_1, t_1) f(s_2, t_2) ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) |
\]

\[
= 8 Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{k-2-1}} u_i u_k.
\]

But since

\[
\sum_{s_1=0}^{b-1} \sum_{t_1=1}^{b-1} \sum_{s_2=0}^{b-1} \sum_{t_2=1}^{b-1} f(s_1, t_1) f(s_2, t_2) ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) ME \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \\ s_2 \\ t_2 \\ k \\ 
\end{array} \right) = u_i u_k,
\]

(54) is just

\[
| g_{ik} | < 8 Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{k-2-1}} u_i u_k < 8 A C_1 e^{-\lambda \sqrt{k-1-1}}.
\]
From (48) and (55), we have for \( n > m > 0 \),

\[
\int_{1}^{2} (S_n(\alpha) - S_m(\alpha))^2 \, d\alpha
\]

\[
= \int_{1}^{2} \left[ \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} f(a_k, c_k) - u_k \right]^2 \, d\alpha
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} (f(a_k, c_k) - u_k)^2 \, d\alpha + 2 \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \int_{1}^{2} (f(a_i, c_i) - u_i)(f(a_k, c_k) - u_k) \, d\alpha
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} b_k + 2 \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} g_{ik} < C_1(n - m) + 16AC_1 \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} e^{-\lambda \sqrt{k-i+1}}
\]

\[
< C_1(n - m) + 16AC_1 \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda \sqrt{j}} = C_1(n - m) + 16AC_1(n - m) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda \sqrt{j}}
\]

\[
= C_2(n - m),
\]

(56)

where \( C_2 = C_1 + 16AC_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda \sqrt{j}} \) is a constant. Now let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and define

\[
e_n = \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : |S_n(\alpha)| \geq \varepsilon n \}.
\]

Clearly

\[
\int_{1}^{2} S_n(\alpha)^2 \, d\alpha \geq \int_{e_n} S_n(\alpha)^2 \, d\alpha \geq \varepsilon^2 n^2 M e_n,
\]

so that if we let \( m = 0 \) in (56) we get

\[
M e_n^2 \leq \int_{1}^{2} S_n(\alpha)^2 \, d\alpha \leq \frac{C_2}{\varepsilon^2 n^3}.
\]

Thus the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M e_n^2 \) converges, so almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) belongs to \( e_{n^2} \) for only finitely many \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Therefore for almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) and for sufficiently large \( n \),

\[
\frac{S_{n^2}(\alpha)}{n^2} < \varepsilon.
\]

Now since \( \varepsilon > 0 \) was arbitrary, we can conclude that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_{n^2}(\alpha)}{n^2} = 0
\]

(57)

for almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \).

Now let \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) be arbitrary and choose \( n \) such that \( n^2 \leq N < (n + 1)^2 \), so that

\[
\int_{1}^{2} (S_N(\alpha) - S_{n^2}(\alpha))^2 \, d\alpha < C_2(N - n^2) < C_2((n + 1)^2 - n^2) = C_2(2n + 1) \leq 3C_2 n.
\]

Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and define

\[
e_{n,N} = \{ \alpha \in (1, 2) : |S_N(\alpha) - S_{n^2}(\alpha)| \geq \varepsilon n^2 \}
\]

and

\[
E_n = \bigcup_{N=n^2}^{(n+1)^2-1} e_{n,N}.
\]
We then have for \( n^2 \leq N < (n + 1)^2 \) that
\[
\int_1^2 (S_N(\alpha) - S_{n^2}(\alpha))^2 \, d\alpha \geq \int_{e_{n,N}} (S_N(\alpha) - S_{n^2}(\alpha))^2 > \varepsilon^2 n^4 \mathcal{M}_{e_{n,N}},
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{M}_{e_{n,N}} < \frac{\int_1^2 (S_N(\alpha) - S_{n^2}(\alpha))^2}{\varepsilon^2 n^4} < \frac{3C_2}{\varepsilon^2 n^4};
\]
so
\[
\mathcal{M}E_n \leq \sum_{n=n^2}^{(n+1)^2-1} \mathcal{M}_{e_{n,N}} < ((n + 1)^2 - n^2) \frac{3C_2}{\varepsilon^2 n^4} \leq \frac{9C_2}{\varepsilon^2 n^2}.
\]
Thus the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}E_n \) converges, so almost every \( \alpha \in (1,2) \) belongs to \( E_n \) for only finitely many \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). In other words, for almost every \( \alpha \), sufficiently large \( N \), and \( n = \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor \), we have
\[
\left| \frac{S_N(\alpha) - S_{n^2}(\alpha)}{n^2} \right| < \varepsilon.
\]
Since \( \varepsilon > 0 \) was arbitrary, we can conclude
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{S_N(\alpha)}{n^2} = \frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2} = 0
\]
for almost every \( \alpha \in (1,2) \), where \( n = \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor \). By (57),
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{S_N(\alpha)}{n^2} = 0,
\]
where \( n = \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor \). Now since \( 0 < \frac{S_N(\alpha)}{N} < \frac{S_{n^2}(\alpha)}{n^2} \), it follows that \( \frac{S_N(\alpha)}{N} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).
Equivalently, by the definition of \( S_N \),
\[
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(a_k, c_k) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u_k \to 0 \quad (58)
\]
as \( N \to \infty \). Now by Theorem 20,
\[
\left| u_n - \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} f(k, \ell) \frac{\log(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} f(k, \ell) \left| \mathcal{M}D_n \left( k \ell \right) - \frac{\log(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \right|
\]
\[
< Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} f(k, \ell) \frac{1}{\ell(\ell+1)b^k} < Ae^{-\lambda \sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} C(\ell b^k)^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta}
\]
\[
= ACe^{-\lambda \sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \frac{1}{(\ell+1)(\ell b^k)^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}} < A_1 e^{-\lambda \sqrt{n}}
\]
for some constant \( A_1 \). Thus for almost every \( \alpha \in (1,2) \),
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} f(k, \ell) \frac{\log(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} ,
\]
43
so indeed,
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} f(k, \ell) \frac{\log \frac{(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{(b+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(1+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}},
\]
at which point (58) gives
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(a_n, c_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} f(k, \ell) \frac{\log \frac{(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{(b+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(1+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}},
\]
for almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \). 

We can now prove the desired theorems.

**Theorem 22** (Restated). For almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) with continued logarithm \([1, c_1b^{a_1}, c_2b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{c_3(b)} \) we have
\[
P_\alpha(k, \ell) = \frac{\log \frac{(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{(b+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(1+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}
\]
for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( \ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\} \).

**Proof.** Fix \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( \ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, b-1\} \). Let
\[
f(s, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & s = k, t = \ell \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
Clearly \( f(s, t) < 2 < 3(tb^s)^{1/4} \) so \( f \) satisfies the conditions of theorem 39. Now
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(a_n, c_n) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n = k, c_n = \ell \} \right|,
\]
so Theorem 39 immediately gives, for almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) that
\[
P_\alpha(k, \ell) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n = k, c_n = \ell \} \right| = \frac{\log \frac{(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}{(b+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(1+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})}}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}},
\]
proving the theorem. 

**Theorem 23** (Restated). For almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \) with continued logarithm \([1, c_1b^{a_1}, c_2b^{a_2}, \ldots]_{c_3(b)} \) we have
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \prod_{n=1}^{N} (c_nb^{a_n}) \right)^{1/N} = b^{A_b},
\]
where
\[
A_b = \frac{1}{\log b \log \frac{b+1}{2b}} \sum_{\ell=2}^{b} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Define \( f(s, t) = \log_b(tb^s) = s + \log_b t \). Notice that we can choose \( C > 0 \) such that \( \log_b(x) < Cx^{1/3} \) for all \( x \geq 1 \). Then if we take \( \delta = \frac{1}{6} \), we get
\[
f(s, t) = \log_b(tb^s) < C(tb^s)^{1/3} = C(tb^s)^{1/3},
\]
so \( f \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 39. We then get that for almost every \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \).

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log_\alpha(c_n b^{\alpha n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \log_\alpha(\ell b^k) \frac{\log \left((1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})\right)}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}.
\]  

(59)

Now let \( u(k, \ell) = \log(1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}) \) and \( v(k) = u(k, \ell) - u(k, \ell + 1) \). Notice that \( u(k, b) = u(k + 1, 1) \). Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \log_\alpha(\ell b^k) \frac{\log \left((1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)^{-1}b^{-k})\right)}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \log_\alpha \ell \left[ u(k, \ell) + u(k + 1, \ell + 1) - u(k + 1, \ell) - u(k, \ell + 1) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \log_\alpha \ell \left[ v(k) - v(k + 1) \right] = \frac{1}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \left( A + B \right),
\]

where

\[
A = \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \log_\alpha \ell \left[ v(k) - v(k + 1) \right] = \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(k) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(k, \ell) - u(k, \ell + 1)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(k, 1) - u(k, b) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(k, 1) - u(k + 1, 1) = u(1, 1) - \lim_{k \to \infty} u(k, 1) = \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \right)
\]

and

\[
B = \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \log \ell \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v(k) - v(k + 1) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \log \ell (v(0) - \lim_{k \to \infty} v(k))
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \log \ell \left[ \log \frac{1 + \ell^{-1}}{1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}} - \lim_{k \to \infty} \log \frac{1 + \ell^{-1}b^{-k}}{1 + (\ell + 1)^{-1}b^{-k}} \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \log \ell \left[ \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) - \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \log \ell \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) - \sum_{\ell=2}^{b} \log(\ell - 1) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right)
\]

\[
= \log 1 \log 2 - \sum_{\ell=2}^{b-1} \log(\ell - 1) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) - \log(b - 1) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \right)
\]

\[
= - \sum_{\ell=2}^{b-1} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) - \log(b - 1) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \right).
\]
Thus we have
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b-1} \log_b(\ell b^k) \frac{\log \left( \frac{(1+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(b+(\ell+1)b^{-k})}{(b+\ell^{-1}b^{-k})(1+(\ell+1)b^{-k})} \right)}{\log \frac{2b}{b+1}}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\log b \log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \left[ \log b \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \right) - \log(b-1) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \right) - \sum_{\ell=2}^{b-1} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \right]
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{\log b \log \frac{2b}{b+1}} \sum_{\ell=2}^{b} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) = \frac{1}{\log b \log \frac{b+1}{2b}} \sum_{\ell=2}^{b} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ell} \right) \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\ell} \right) = A.
\]
Thus (59) becomes
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log_b(c_n b^{a_n}) = A,
\]
from which it follows that for almost all \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \),
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \prod_{n=1}^{N} c_n b^{a_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} = b^{\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log_b(c_n b^{a_n})} = b^A,
\]
as required. \(\square\)