ON SUMS OF THREE SQUARES
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ABSTRACT. Let \( r_3(n) \) be the number of representations of a positive integer \( n \) as a sum of three squares of integers. We give two distinct proofs of a conjecture of Wagon concerning the asymptotic value of the mean square of \( r_3(n) \).

1. Introduction

Problems concerning sums of three squares have a rich history. It is a classical result of Gauss that

\[ n = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \]

has a solution in integers if and only if \( n \) is not of the form \( 4^a(8k + 7) \) with \( a, k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( r_3(n) \) be the number of representations of \( n \) as a sum of three squares (counting signs and order). It was conjectured by Hardy and proved by Bateman [1] that

\[ r_3(n) = 4\pi n^{1/2} \Xi_3(n), \]

where the singular series \( \Xi_3(n) \) is given by (16) with \( Q = \infty \).

While in principle this exact formula can be used to answer almost any question concerning \( r_3(n) \), the ensuing calculations can be tricky because of the slow convergence of the singular series \( \Xi_3(n) \). Thus, one often sidesteps (1) and attacks problems involving \( r_3(n) \) directly. For example, concerning the mean value of \( r_3(n) \), one can adapt the method of solution of the circle problem to obtain the following

\[ \sum_{n \leq x} r_3(n) \sim \frac{4}{3} \pi x^{3/2}. \]

Moreover, such a direct approach enables us to bound the error term in this asymptotic formula. An application of a result of Landau [9, pp. 200–218] yields

\[ \sum_{n \leq x} r_3(n) = \frac{4}{3} \pi x^{3/2} + O(x^{3/4+\epsilon}) \]

for all \( \epsilon > 0 \), and subsequent improvements on the error term have been obtained by Vinogradov [19], Chamizo and Iwaniec [3], and Heath-Brown [6].

In this note we consider the mean square of \( r_3(n) \). Crandall and Wagon [4] (see also [2]) conjectured the following asymptotic formula.

\[ \sum_{n \leq x} r_3(n) \sim \frac{4}{3} \pi x^{3/2} + O(x^{3/4+\epsilon}) \]

for all \( \epsilon > 0 \), and subsequent improvements on the error term have been obtained by Vinogradov [19], Chamizo and Iwaniec [3], and Heath-Brown [6].

In this note we consider the mean square of \( r_3(n) \). Crandall and Wagon [4] (see also [2]) conjectured the following asymptotic formula.
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Theorem. Let \( r_3(n) \) be the number of representations of a positive integer \( n \) as a sum of three squares of integers. Then

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} r_3(n)^2 \sim \frac{8\pi^4}{21\zeta(3)} x^2.
\]

Apparently, at the time they proposed this conjecture Crandall and Wagon were unaware of the earlier work of Müller [11, 12]. He obtained a more general result which, in a special case, gives

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} r_3(n)^2 = Bx^2 + O(x^{14/9}),
\]

where \( B \) is a constant. However, since in Müller’s work \( B \) arises as a specialization of a more general (and more complicated) quantity, it is not immediately clear that \( B = \frac{8}{21}\pi^4 / \zeta(3) \). The purpose of this paper is to give two distinct proofs of this fact: one that evaluates \( B \) in the form given by Müller and a direct proof using the Hardy–Littlewood circle method.

2. A direct proof: the circle method

Our first proof exploits the observation that the left side of (2) counts solutions of the equation

\[
m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 = m_4^2 + m_5^2 + m_6^2
\]

in integers \( m_1, \ldots, m_6 \) with \( |m_j| \leq x \). This is exactly the kind of problem that the circle method was designed for.

Set \( N = \sqrt{x} \) and define

\[
f(\alpha) = \sum_{m \leq N} e(\alpha m^2),
\]

where \( e(z) = e^{2\pi iz} \). Then for an integer \( n \leq x \), the number \( r^*(n) \) of representations of \( n \) as a sum of three squares of positive integers is

\[
r^*(n) = \int_0^1 f(\alpha)^3 e(-an) d\alpha.
\]

Since \( r_3(n) = 8r^*(n) + O(r_2(n)) \), where \( r_2(n) \) is the number of representations of \( n \) as a sum of two squares, we have

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} r_3(n)^2 = 64 \sum_{n \leq x} r^*(n)^2 + O(x^{3/2+\epsilon}). \tag{3}
\]

Therefore, it suffices to evaluate the mean square of \( r^*(n) \). Let

\[
P = N/4 \quad \text{and} \quad Q = N^{1/2}.
\]

We introduce the sets

\[
\mathcal{M}(q, a) = \{ \alpha \in [PN^{-2}, 1 + PN^{-2}] : |q\alpha - a| \leq PN^{-2} \}
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{q \leq Q} \bigcup_{1 \leq a \leq q \gcd(a, q) = 1} \mathcal{M}(q, a),
\]

and

\[
m = [PN^{-2}, 1 + PN^{-2}] \setminus \mathcal{M}.
\]
We have

\[ r^*(n) = \left( \int_\mathbb{R} + \int_\mathbb{M} \right) f(\alpha)^3 e(-\alpha n) \, d\alpha \]

\[ = r^*(n, \mathbb{R}) + r^*(n, \mathbb{M}), \quad \text{say.} \]

By (4) and Cauchy’s inequality,

\[ \sum_{n \leq x} r^*(n)^2 = \sum_{n \leq x} r^*(n, \mathbb{R})^2 + O\left( (\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2)^{1/2} + \Sigma_2 \right), \]

where

\[ \Sigma_1 = \sum_{n \leq x} |r^*(n, \mathbb{R})|^2, \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{n \leq x} |r^*(n, \mathbb{M})|^2. \]

By Bessel’s inequality,

\[ |\Sigma_2| = \sum_{n \leq x} \left| \int_\mathbb{R} f(\alpha)^3 e(-\alpha n) \, d\alpha \right|^2 \leq \int_\mathbb{R} |f(\alpha)|^6 \, d\alpha. \]

By Dirichlet’s theorem of diophantine approximation, we can write any real \( \alpha \) as \( \alpha = a/q + \beta \), where

\[ 1 \leq q \leq N^2 P^{-1}, \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad |\beta| \leq P/(qN^2). \]

When \( \alpha \in \mathbb{M} \), we have \( q \geq Q \), and hence Weyl’s inequality (see Vaughan [18, Lemma 2.4]) yields

\[ |f(\alpha)| \ll N^{1+\epsilon} \left( q^{-1} + N^{-1} + qN^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \ll N^{1+\epsilon} Q^{1/2}. \]

Furthermore, we have

\[ \int_0^1 |f(\alpha)|^4 \, d\alpha \ll N^{2+\epsilon}, \]

because the integral on the right equals the number of solutions of

\[ m_1^2 + m_2^2 = m_3^2 + m_4^2 \]

in integers \( m_1, \ldots, m_4 \leq N \). For each choice of \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), this equation has \( \ll N^\epsilon \) solutions. Combining (6)–(8) and replacing \( \epsilon \) by \( \epsilon/3 \), we obtain

\[ \Sigma_2 \ll N^{4+\epsilon} Q^{-1}. \]

Furthermore, another appeal to Bessel’s inequality and appeals to (8) and to the trivial estimate \( |f(\alpha)| \leq N \) yield

\[ \Sigma_1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(\alpha)|^6 \, d\alpha \leq \int_0^1 |f(\alpha)|^6 \, d\alpha \ll N^{1+\epsilon}. \]

We now define a function \( f^* \) on \( \mathbb{M} \) by setting

\[ f^*(\alpha) = q^{-1} S(q, a)v(\alpha - a/q) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{M}(q, a) \subseteq \mathbb{M}; \]

here

\[ S(q, a) = \sum_{1 \leq h \leq q} e(ah^2 / q), \quad v(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \leq x} m^{-1/2} e(\beta m). \]
Our next goal is to approximate the mean square of \( r^*(n, \mathfrak{M}) \) by the mean square of the integral

\[
R'(n) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}} f^*(\alpha)^3 e(-an) d\alpha.
\]

Similarly to (5),

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} r^*(n, \mathfrak{M})^2 = \sum_{n \leq x} R'(n)^2 + O(\Sigma_3 + (\Sigma_1 \Sigma_3)^{1/2}), \tag{11}
\]

where

\[
\Sigma_3 = \sum_{n \leq x} \left| \int_{\mathfrak{M}} [f(\alpha)^3 - f^*(\alpha)^3] e(-an) d\alpha \right|^2 \leq \int_{\mathfrak{M}} |f(\alpha)^3 - f^*(\alpha)^3|^2 d\alpha, \tag{12}
\]

after yet another appeal to Bessel’s inequality. By [18, Theorem 4.1], when \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q,a) \),

\[
f(\alpha) = f^*(\alpha) + O(q^{1+\epsilon}).
\]

Thus,

\[
\int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} |f(\alpha)^3 - f^*(\alpha)^3|^2 d\alpha \ll q^{1+2\epsilon} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} (|f(\alpha)|^4 + q^{2+4\epsilon}) d\alpha,
\]

whence

\[
\int_{\mathfrak{M}} |f(\alpha)^3 - f^*(\alpha)^3|^2 d\alpha \ll Q^{1+2\epsilon} \int_0^1 |f(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha + P Q^{4+6\epsilon} N^{-2}.
\]

Bounding the last integral using (8) and substituting the ensuing estimate into (12), we obtain

\[
\Sigma_3 \ll Q N^{2+2\epsilon} + P Q^4 N^{-2+3\epsilon} \ll Q N^{2+2\epsilon}. \tag{13}
\]

Combining (5), (9)–(11), and (13), we deduce that

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} r^*(n)^2 = \sum_{n \leq x} R'(n)^2 + O(N^{4+\epsilon} Q^{-1/2} + N^{3+\epsilon} Q^{1/2}). \tag{14}
\]

We now proceed to evaluate the main term in (14). We have

\[
\int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} f^*(\alpha)^3 e(-an) d\alpha = q^{-3} S(q,a)^3 e(-an/q) \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,0)} v(\beta)^3 e(-\beta n) d\beta,
\]

so

\[
R'(n) = \sum_{q \leq Q} A(q,n) I(q,n),
\]

where

\[
A(q,n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\mod q \\gcd(a,q) = 1}} q^{-3} S(q,a)^3 e(-an/q), \quad I(q,n) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,0)} v(\beta)^3 e(-\beta n) d\beta.
\]

Hence,

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} R'(n)^2 = \sum_{n \leq x} I(n)^2 \Xi_3(n, Q)^2 + O((\Sigma_4 \Sigma_5)^{1/2} + \Sigma_5), \tag{15}
\]
We then use (17) and (18) to replace $I$ and (21). Together with (14) and (20), this leads to the asymptotic formula

$$\Sigma = \sum_{n \leq x} I(n)^2 \left( \sum_{q \leq Q} |A(q, n)| \right)^2, \quad \Sigma_3 = \sum_{n \leq x} \left( \sum_{q \leq Q} |A(q, n)(I(n) - I(q, n))| \right)^2.$$

By [18, Theorem 2.3] and [18, Theorem 4.2],

$$I(n) = \Gamma(3/2) \sqrt{n} + O(1) = \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{n} + O(1), \quad A(q, n) \ll q^{-1/2}.$$

Furthermore, since $A(q, n)$ is multiplicative in $q$, [18, Lemma 4.7] yields

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} |A(q, n)| \leq \prod_{p \leq Q} \left( 1 + |A(p, n)| + |A(p^2, n)| + \cdots \right) \ll \prod_{p \leq Q} \left( 1 + c_1(p, n)p^{-3/2} + 3c_1p^{-1} \right) \ll (nQ)^{\epsilon},$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant. In particular, we have

$$\Sigma_4 \ll N^{4+\epsilon}.$$

We now turn to the estimation of $\Sigma_5$. By Cauchy’s inequality and the second bound in (17),

$$\Sigma_5 \ll (\log Q) \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{q \leq Q} |I(n) - I(n, q)|^2.$$

Another application of Bessel’s inequality gives

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |I(n) - I(n, q)|^2 \leq \int_{p/q^{N^2}}^{1/2} |\nu(\beta)|^6 d\beta.$$

Using [18, Lemma 2.8] to estimate the last integral, we deduce that

$$\Sigma_5 \ll \log Q \sum_{q \leq Q} \left( q^2 N^{4p^{-2}} + 1 \right) \ll N^2 Q^{3+\epsilon}.$$

Substituting this inequality and (19) into (15), we conclude that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} R^*(n)^2 = \sum_{n \leq x} I(n)^2 \Xi_3(n, Q)^2 + O(N^{3+\epsilon} Q^{3/2}).$$

We then use (17) and (18) to replace $I(n)$ on the right side of (20) by $\frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{n}$. We get

$$\sum_{n \leq x} I(n)^2 \Xi_3(n, Q)^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{16} \sum_{n \leq x} n \Xi_3(n, Q)^2 + O(N^{3+\epsilon}).$$

Together with (14) and (20), this leads to the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} R^*(n)^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{16} \sum_{n \leq x} n \Xi_3(n, Q)^2 + O(N^{4+\epsilon} Q^{-1/2} + N^{3+\epsilon} Q^{3/2}).$$
Finally, we evaluate the sum on the right side of (21). On observing that \( S_3(n, Q) \) is in fact a real number, we have

\[
\sum_{n \leq t} S_3(n, Q)^2 = \sum_{q_1, q_2 \leq Q} \sum_{1 \leq a_1 \leq q_1} \sum_{1 \leq a_2 \leq q_2} (q_1 q_2)^{-3} S(q_1, a_1)^3 S(q_2, -a_2)^3 \sum_{n \leq t} e\left((a_1/q_1 - a_2/q_2)n\right).
\]

As the sum over \( n \) equals \( t + O(1) \) when \( a_1 = a_2 \) and \( q_1 = q_2 \) and \( O(q_1 q_2) \) otherwise, we get

\[
\sum_{n \leq t} \mathcal{E}_3(n, Q)^2 = t \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq q} q^{-6}|S(q, a)|^6 + O(\Sigma_6),
\]

where

\[
\Sigma_6 = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq q} q^{-6}|S(q, a)|^6 \ll Q^{3/2}.
\]

We find that

\[
\sum_{n \leq t} \mathcal{E}_3(n, Q)^2 = B_1 t + O(t Q^{-1} + Q^3),
\]

with

\[
B_1 = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq q} q^{-6}|S(q, a)|^6.
\]

Thus, by partial summation,

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} n \mathcal{E}_3(n, Q)^2 = (B_1/2)x^2 + O(x^2 Q^{-1} + x Q^3).
\]

Combining this asymptotic formula with (21), we deduce that

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} r^2(n)^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{32} B_1 x^2 + O(x^{15/8 + \epsilon}).
\]

Recalling (3), we see that (2) will follow if we show that

\[
B_1 = \frac{8 \zeta(2)}{7 \zeta(3)}.
\]

This, however, follows easily from the well-known formula (see [7, §7.5])

\[
|S(q, a)| = \begin{cases} \sqrt{q} & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \sqrt{2q} & \text{if } q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}
\]

Indeed, (22) yields

\[
B_1 = \frac{4}{3} \sum_{q \text{ odd}} q^{-3} \phi(q) = \frac{8 \zeta(2)}{7 \zeta(3)},
\]

where the last step uses the Euler product of \( \zeta(s) \). This completes the proof of our theorem.
3. Second Proof of Theorem

Rankin [13] and Selberg [17] independently introduced an important method which allows one to study the analytic behavior of the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$$

where $a(n)$ are Fourier coefficients of a holomorphic cusp form for some congruence subgroup of $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Originally the method was for holomorphic cusp forms. Zagier [20] extended the method to cover forms that are not cuspidal and may not decay rapidly at infinity. Müller [11, 12] considered the case where $a(n)$ is the Fourier coefficient of non-holomorphic cusp or non-cusp form of real weight with respect to a Fuchsian group of the first kind. It is this last approach we wish to discuss. Note that if we apply a Tauberian theorem to the above Dirichlet series, we then gain information on the asymptotic behavior of the partial sum

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a(n).$$

We now discuss Müller’s elegant work. For details regarding discontinuous groups and automorphic forms, see [8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16]. Let $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(z) > 0\}$ denote the upper half plane and $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ the special linear group of all $2 \times 2$ matrices with determinant 1. $G$ acts on $\mathbb{H}$ by

$$z \mapsto gz = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

for $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$. We write $y = y(z) = \Im(z)$. Thus we have

$$y(gz) = \frac{y}{|cz + d|^2}.$$ 

Let $dx\,dy$ denote the Lebesgue measure in the plane. Then the measure

$$d\mu = \frac{dx\,dy}{y^2}$$

is invariant under the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{H}$. A discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ of $G$ is called a Fuchsian group of the first kind if its fundamental domain $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ has finite volume. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group of the first kind containing $\pm I$ where $I$ is the identity matrix. Let $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma, \chi, k, \lambda)$ denote the space of (non-holomorphic) automorphic forms of real weight $k$, eigenvalue $\lambda = \frac{1}{4} - \rho^2$, $\Re(\rho) \geq 0$, and multiplier system $\chi$. For $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$, we define the stroke operator $|k$ by

$$(f|k g)(z) := \left(\frac{cz + d}{|cz + d|}\right)^{-k} f(gz)$$

where $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. The transformation law for $f \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma, \chi, k, \lambda)$ is then

$$(f|k g)(z) = \chi(g)f(z)$$

for all $g \in \Gamma$. Automorphic forms $f \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma, \chi, k, \lambda)$ have a Fourier expansion at every cusp $\kappa$ of $\Gamma$, namely

$$A_{\kappa,0}(y) + \sum_{n \neq 0} a_{\kappa,n} W_{(\text{sgn } n)} \frac{x}{2} e^{(4\pi|n| + \mu_\kappa y)e((n + \mu_\kappa)x)}$$

where $\mu_\kappa$ is the cusp parameter and $a_{\kappa,n}$ are the Fourier coefficients of $f$ at $\kappa$. The functions $W_{a,\varphi}$ are Whittaker functions (see [11, §3]), $A_{\kappa,0}(y) = 0$ if $\mu_\kappa \neq 0$ and
An automorphic form \( f \) is called a cusp form if \( a_{\kappa,0} = b_{\kappa,0} = 0 \) for all cusps \( \kappa \) of \( \Gamma \). Now consider the Dirichlet series

\[
S_{\kappa}(f, s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{|a_{\kappa,n}|^2}{(n + \mu_{\kappa})^s}.
\]

This series is absolutely convergent for \( \Re(s) > 2\Re(\rho) \) and has been shown \([12]\) to have meromorphic continuation in the entire complex plane. In what follows, we will only be interested in the case \( f \) is not a cusp form. If \( f \) is not a cusp form and \( \Re(\rho) > 0 \), then \( S_{\kappa}(f, s) \) has a simple pole at \( s = 2\Re(\rho) \) with residue

\[
\beta_{\kappa}(f) = \res_{s=2\Re(\rho)} S_{\kappa}(f, s) = (4\pi)^{2\Re(\rho)}b^+(k/2, \rho) \sum_{\kappa \in K} \varphi_{\kappa,k}(1 + 2\Re(\rho))|a_{\kappa,0}|^2,
\]

where \( K \) denotes a complete set of \( \Gamma \)-inequivalent cusps, \( \varphi_{\kappa,k}(1 + 2\Re(\rho)) > 0 \) and \( b^+(\frac{k}{2}, \rho) > 0 \) if \( \rho + \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\kappa}{2} \) is a non-negative integer. For the definition of the functions \( \varphi_{\kappa,k} \) and \( b^+ \), see Lemma 3.6 and (69) in \([12]\). This result \((23)\) and a Tauberian argument then provide the asymptotic behaviour of the summatory function

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} |a_{\kappa,n}|^2 n + \mu_{\kappa}.
\]

Precisely, we have (see \([11, \text{Theorem 2.1}]\) or \([12, \text{Theorem 5.2}]\) that

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} |a_{\kappa,n}|^2 n + \mu_{\kappa} = \sum_{s \in R} \res_{s=2\Re(\rho)} S_{\kappa}(f, s) \frac{x^{s+r}}{r+s} + O(x^{s+2\Re(\rho)-\gamma}(\log x)^s),
\]

where \( 2\Re(\rho) + r \geq 0 \), \( R = \{-2\Re(\rho), \pm 2i\Im(\rho), 0, -r\} \), \( \gamma = (2+8\Re(\rho))(5+16\Re(\rho))^{-1} \), and \( g = \max(0, b-1) \); \( b \) denotes the order of the pole of \( S_{\kappa}(f, s)(r + s)^{-1}x^{r+s} \) at \( s = 2\Re(\rho) \) \((0 \leq b \leq 5)\).

We now consider an application of \((24)\). Let \( Q \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m} \) be a non-singular symmetric matrix with even diagonal entries and \( q(x) = \frac{1}{4}Q|x| = \frac{1}{4}x^T Qx \), \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^m \), the associated quadratic form in \( m \geq 3 \) variables. Here we assume that \( q(x) \) is positive definite. Let \( r(Q, n) \) denote the number of representations of \( n \) by the quadratic form \( Q \). Now consider the theta function

\[
\theta_Q(z) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^m} e^{2\pi i Q(x)}. \tag{24}
\]

By \([11, \text{Lemma 6.1}]\), the Dirichlet series associated with the automorphic form \( \theta_Q \) is

\[
(4\pi)^{-m/4} \zeta_Q \left( \frac{m}{4} + s \right)
\]

where

\[
\zeta_Q(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(Q, n) \frac{1}{n^s} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^m \setminus \{0\}} q(x)^{-s}
\]

for \( \Re(s) > m/2 \). Using \((24)\), Müller proved the following (see \([11, \text{Theorem 6.1}]\))
Thus for \( s \neq \frac{1}{2} \), \( B \) is a computable constant. Specifically, we have by (23) (with \( k = 3/2 \) and \( \rho = 1/4 \))

\[
B = \frac{4\pi^2}{3 - 1} b^+(3/4, 1/4) \sum_{\tau \in K} \varphi_{\omega, \tau}(3/2) |a_{\tau,0}|^2,
\]

where \( K \) denotes a complete set of \( \Gamma_0(4) \)-inequivalent cusps and \( a_{\tau,0} \) is the 0-th Fourier coefficient of \( \theta_{\tau}(z) \) at a rational cusp \( \tau \). Choose \( K = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4} \} \). Then by p. 145 and (67) in [11], we have

\[
|a_{\tau,0}|^2 = W_{\tau}^2 |G(S_\tau)|^2
\]

where \( \tau = u/w, (u, w) = 1, w \geq 1, W_\tau \) is width of the cusp \( \tau \), and

\[
|G(S_\tau)|^2 = 2^{-3} w^{-3} \sum_{x=1}^w \exp \left( \frac{\mu x^2}{w^2} \right)^6.
\]

As \( W_{1/4} = W_{1/2} = 1, W_1 = 4 \), we have \( |a_{1,0}|^2 = 1, |a_{1/2,0}|^2 = 0, \) and \( |a_{1/4,0}|^2 = 1 \). An explicit description of the functions \( \varphi_{\omega, \tau}(s) \) in the case \( \Gamma_0(4) \) is given by (see (1.17) and p. 247 in [5])

\[
\varphi_{\omega, 1/4}(s) = 2^{1-s} \Gamma(s-1/2) \zeta(2s-1) \Gamma(s) \zeta(2s),
\]

\[
\varphi_{\omega, 1/2}(s) = \varphi_{\omega, 1}(s) = 2^{-2s} (1 - 2^{-2s})^{-1} (1 - 2^{1-2s}) \pi^{1/2} \Gamma(s-1/2) \zeta(2s-1) \Gamma(s) \zeta(2s).
\]

Thus for \( s = 3/2 \), we have

\[
\varphi_{\omega, 1/4}(3/2) = 2^{-5} (1 - 2^{-3})^{-1} \pi^2 \frac{\zeta(2)}{\Gamma(3/2) \zeta(3)},
\]

\[
\varphi_{\omega, 1/2}(3/2) = \varphi_{\omega, 1}(3/2) = 2^{-3} (1 - 2^{-3})^{-1} (1 - 2^{-2}) \pi^2 \frac{\zeta(2)}{\Gamma(3/2) \zeta(3)}.
\]

Now, from p. 65 in [12], we have

\[
b^+(3/4, 1/4) = G^*_{1/4, 1/4}(3/2).
\]

By Lemma 3.3 and (16) in [12],
and so \( b^*(3/4, 1/4) = \Gamma(2)^{-1} \). In total,

\[
B = \frac{(4\pi)^2}{(3-1)\Gamma(2)} \left( 2^{-3}(1 - 2^{-3})^{-1}(1 - 2^{-2})\pi^{1/2} \frac{\zeta(2)}{\Gamma(3/2)\zeta(3)} + 2^{-5}(1 - 2^{-3})^{-1}\pi^{1/2} \frac{\zeta(2)}{\Gamma(3/2)\zeta(3)} \right) = \frac{8\pi^4}{21\zeta(3)}.
\]

Thus

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} r_3(n)^2 \sim \frac{8\pi^4}{21\zeta(3)} x^2.
\]

**Remark.** Müller’s Theorem can also be used to obtain the mean square value of sums of \( N > 3 \) squares. Precisely, if \( r_N(n) \) is the number of representations of \( n \) by \( N > 3 \) squares, then a calculation similar to the second proof of our theorem yields (compare with Theorem 3.3 in [2])

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} r_N(n)^2 = W_Nx^{N-1} + O\left(x^{(N-1)\frac{2N-3}{2N}}\right)
\]

where

\[
W_N = \frac{1}{(N-1)(1 - 2^{-N}) \Gamma(N/2)^2} \frac{\pi^N}{\zeta(N-1) \zeta(N)}.
\]
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