

## CHAPTER 1

## Von Neumann's Proof of the Existence and Uniqueness of an Invariant Measure on a Compact Metric group

In this chapter, we'll show how to ascribe to each  $f \in C(G)$ , a mean  $M(f)$ , which is at one and the same time, linear in  $f$ , non-negative when  $f$  is, and is a true average with the values at  $f$  and any right translate of  $f$ , identical.

Let  $G$  be a compact metrizable topological group. Denote by  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  the collection of non-empty finite subsets of  $G$  and by  $C(G)$  the Banach space of all continuous real-valued functions defined on  $G$ , equipped as usual with the supremum norm.

Throughout this section, if  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  then by  $F_1 \cdot F_2$ , we mean all words  $a \cdot b$ , where  $a \in F_1$  and  $b \in F_2$ ; in particular, if  $a_1 \cdot b_1 = a_2 \cdot b_2$  but  $a_1 \neq a_2$  then we distinguish  $a_1 \cdot b_1$  and  $a_2 \cdot b_2$ .

LEMMA 1.1. (i) *If  $f \in C(G)$  then  $\min f, \max f$ , and  $Osc f = \max f - \min f$  all exist.*  
(ii) *If  $f \in C(G)$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  then*

$$Osc R A v e_F f \leq Osc f.$$

*In fact,*

$$\min f \leq \min R A v e_F f \leq \max R A v e_F f \leq \max f.$$

(iii) *If  $f \in C(G)$  and  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  then*

$$R A v e_{F_1} R A v e_{F_2} f = R A v e_{F_1 \cdot F_2} f.$$

PROOF. To see (ii), let  $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  and  $f \in C(G)$ . Define

$$R A v e_{\mathbf{F}} f(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{|\mathbf{F}|} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{F}} f(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{a}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{G}.$$

Naturally  $R A v e_F f \in C(G)$ .

To see (iii), if  $x \in G$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RAve}_{F_1} \text{RAve}_{F_2} f(x) &= \text{RAve}_{F_1} \frac{1}{|F_2|} \sum_{b \in F_2} f(xb) \\
&= \frac{1}{|F_1|} \sum_{a \in F_1} \frac{S(xa)}{|F_2|} \left( \text{letting } S(x) = \sum_{b \in F_2} f(xb) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|F_1| \cdot |F_2|} \sum_{a \in F_1} S(xa) \\
&= \frac{1}{|F_1 \cdot F_2|} \sum_{a \in F_1} \sum_{b \in F_2} f(xab) \\
&= \frac{1}{|F_1 \cdot F_2|} \sum_{c \in F_1 \cdot F_2} f(xc) \\
&= \text{RAve}_{F_1 \cdot F_2} f(x). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 1.2. *If  $f \in C(G)$  is not constant then there is an  $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  such that*

$$\text{Osc} \text{RAve}_F f < \text{Osc} f.$$

PROOF. After all,  $f$ 's not being constant ensures that there is an  $\alpha$  such that  $\min f < \alpha < \max f$ . Set

$$U = [f < \alpha] = \{x \in G : f(x) < \alpha\}.$$

Since  $\min f < \alpha$ ,  $U$  is a non-empty open set in  $G$  and  $G = \bigcup_{a \in G} Ua^{-1}$ . (If  $x \in G$  then for any  $y \in U$ ,  $x = y(y^{-1}x) \in U(y^{-1}x) \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in G} Ua^{-1}$ .)

Now  $U$  is open (since  $f \in C(G)$ ), and  $U \neq \emptyset$  so  $Ua^{-1}$  is also a non-empty open set for each  $a \in G$ . Therefore the  $Ua^{-1}$ 's cover the compact  $G$ . There is  $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  such that

$$G = \bigcup_{a \in F} Ua^{-1}.$$

Therefore for any  $x \in G$  there exists  $a_x \in F$  such that  $x \in Ua_x^{-1}$ . i.e., for any  $x \in G$  there exists  $a_x \in F$  such that  $f(xa_x) < \alpha$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RAve}_F f(x) &= \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{a \in F} f(xa) \\
&= \frac{1}{|F|} \left( \sum_{a \in F, a \neq a_x} f(xa) + f(xa_x) \right) \\
&< \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{a \in F, a \neq a_x} f(xa) + \alpha \\
&\leq \frac{(|F| - 1) \max f + \alpha}{|F|} \\
&< \frac{(|F| - 1) \max f + \max f}{|F|} \\
&= \max f.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\text{OscRAve}_F f \leq \text{Osc} f.$$

□

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $f \in C(G)$  and define  $\mathcal{K} = \{\text{RAve}_F f : F \in \mathcal{F}(G)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is uniformly bounded, equicontinuous family in  $C(G)$ .*

PROOF. The key to this precious fact is that  $f$  is of course uniformly continuous. So given an  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an open set  $V$  in  $G$  containing  $G$ 's identity such that if  $xy^{-1} \in V$  then  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$ . Notice that if  $a \in G$  and  $xy^{-1} \in V$  then  $(xa)(ya)^{-1} = xaa^{-1}y^{-1} = xy^{-1} \in V$ . So once  $xy^{-1} \in V$ ,

$$|f(xa) - f(ya)| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $a \in G$ . But now if  $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  then whenever  $xy^{-1} \in V$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{RAve}_F f(x) - \text{RAve}_F f(y)| &= \frac{1}{|F|} \left| \sum_{a \in F} f(xa) - \sum_{a \in F} f(ya) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{a \in F} |f(xa) - f(ya)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|F|} |F| \epsilon = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{K}$  is uniformly bounded since

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{RAve}_F f(x)| &= \frac{1}{|F|} \left| \sum_{a \in F} f(xa) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{a \in F} |f(xa)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|F|} |F| \cdot \|f\| = \|f\|_\infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We see that Lemma 1.3 takes on added significance if we but recall the classical theory of Arzela and Ascoli to the effect that  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq C(G)$  is relatively norm compact if and only if  $\mathcal{K}$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous.

With Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 in hand, the plan of attack is clear. We want an averaging technique which will give a true average, assigning values in a uniformly distributed manner. If the function  $f$  is constant then we will plainly want to assign that value of constancy to  $f$ . With the aforementioned lemmas in hand, we handle non-constant functions thusly; if  $f$  is not constant, then we can find  $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  so that

$$\text{OscRAve}_{F_1} < \text{Osc} f;$$

If  $\text{RAve}_{F_1}(f)$  is constant then it's value of constancy is the natural value to ascribe to  $f$ . If  $\text{RAve}_{F_1}(f)$  is not constant, then we appeal to Lemma 1.3 again to find  $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  so that

$$\text{RAve}_{F_2} \text{RAve}_{F_1} \subset \text{OscRAve}_{F_1}(f).$$

Continuing in this vain, we see that in the worst case we can find a sequence  $(F_n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(G)$  so that for each  $n$

$$\text{OscRAve}_{F_{n+1}} \text{RAve}_{F_n}(f) \subset \text{OscRAve}_{F_n}(f).$$

Appealing to Messrs. Arzela and Ascoli, we can pass to a sequence  $(F'_n) \subset \mathcal{F}(G)$  so  $(\text{RAve}_{F'_n}(f))$  is uniformly convergent.

The point is that because our averages were taken with respect to right translates, in the long run, judicious choices of the  $F_n$ 's ought to produce an average that is right invariant. Remarkably enough the wisdom needed has already been provided by Von Neumann.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let  $f \in C(G)$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \{\text{RAve}_F f : F \in \mathcal{F}(G)\}$ . Then*

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{K}} \text{Osc} g = 0.$$

PROOF. Let

$$s = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{K}} \text{Osc} g = \inf\{\text{OscRAve}_F f : F \in \mathcal{F}(G)\}.$$

Therefore there exists  $(F_n)$  in  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  such that  $(\text{RAve}_{F_n}) \searrow s$ . Thanks to Arzela and Ascoli we can, by passing to subsequences if necessary, assume that

$$\text{RAve}_{F_n} f \rightarrow g \in C(G),$$

uniformly. It's plain that on assuming the uniform convergence of  $(\text{RAve}_{F_n})$  that

$$\min \text{RAve}_{F_n} f \rightarrow \min g \quad \text{and} \quad \max \text{RAve}_{F_n} f \rightarrow \max g,$$

and so

$$\text{OscRAve}_{F_n} f \rightarrow \text{Osc} g.$$

Thus  $\text{Osc} g = s$ . Here's the point:  $g$  is constant! Indeed if  $g$  were not constant there would be an  $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  such that

$$s_0 = \text{OscRAve}_{F_0} g < \text{Osc} g = s,$$

thanks to lemma 1.2. Since  $(\text{RAve}_{F_n} f)$  is uniformly convergent, there exists  $N$  such that

$$\|\text{RAve}_{F_N} f - g\|_\infty < \frac{s - s_0}{3}.$$

i.e., for any  $x \in G$ ,

$$|\text{RAve}_{F_N} f(x) - g(x)| \leq \frac{s - s_0}{3}.$$

But this is quickly seen to mean

$$|\text{RAve}_{F_0} \text{RAve}_{F_N} f(x) - \text{RAve}_{F_0} g(x)| \leq \frac{s - s_0}{3},$$

for all  $x \in G$  as well. It follows that for all  $x \in G$

$$|\text{OscRAve}_{F_0} \text{RAve}_{F_N} f - \text{OscRAve}_{F_0} g| < 2 \left( \frac{s - s_0}{3} \right).$$

i.e., for all  $x \in G$ ,

$$|\text{OscRAve}_{F_0} \text{RAve}_{F_N} f(x) - s_0| < 2 \left( \frac{s - s_0}{3} \right).$$

But this in turn means that

$$\text{OscRAve}_{F_0} \text{RAve}_{F_N} f(x) < s_0 + 2 \left( \frac{s - s_0}{3} \right) = \frac{2}{3}s + \frac{1}{3}s_0 < s.$$

But

$$\text{OscRAve}_{F_0} \text{RAve}_{F_N} f = \text{OscRAve}_{F_0 F_N} f,$$

and

$$s = \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}(G)} \text{OscRAve}_F f.$$

This should elicit an ‘OOPS’ because

$$\text{RAve}_{F_0} \text{RAve}_{F_N} f = \text{RAve}_{F_0 \cdot F_N} f \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Therefore  $g$  is constant and  $s = 0$ . i.e.,

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{K}} \text{Osc} g = 0.$$

□

We say the real number  $p$  is a **right mean** of  $f$  if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  such that

$$|\text{RAve}_F f(x) - p| < \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in G$ . i.e.,

$$\|\text{RAve}_F f - p\|_\infty < \epsilon.$$

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Every  $f \in C(G)$  has a right mean.*

**PROOF.** By the techniques used in Lemma 1.4, there is a constant function  $h$  (say  $h(x) \equiv p$ ) and a sequence  $(F_n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(G)$  such that

$$\lim_n \|\text{RAve}_{F_n} f - h\|_\infty = 0.$$

i.e.,

$$\|\text{RAve}_{F_n} f - p\|_\infty \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Plainly  $p$  is a right mean of  $f$ . □

It's plain that each  $f \in C(G)$  has a **left mean** as well, that is, there is a  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  so that if  $\epsilon > 0$  is given there exists an  $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  so that

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{a \in F} f(ax) - q \right| < \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in G$ . For obvious reasons, we define

$$\text{LAve}_F f(x) = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{a \in F} f(ax).$$

**THEOREM 1.6.** *Let  $f \in C(G)$ . Let  $p$  be a right mean of  $f$  and  $q$  be a left mean of  $f$ . Then  $p = q$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Find  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  so that

$$\|\text{RAve}_A f - p\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \|\text{LAve}_B f - q\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RAve}_A \text{RAve}_B f(x) &= \text{RAve}_A \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{b \in B} f(bx) \\
&= \frac{1}{|A|} \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{a \in A} S(xa) \quad (\text{where } S(x) = \sum_{b \in B} f(bx)) \\
&= \frac{1}{|A|} \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} f(bxa) \\
&= \frac{1}{|B|} \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{a \in A} f(bxa) \\
&= \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{b \in B} \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a \in A} f(bxa) \\
&= \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{b \in B} \text{RAve}_A f(bx) \\
&= \text{LAve}_B \text{RAve}_A f.
\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\text{RAve}_A (\text{LAve}_B f - q) = \text{RAve}_A \text{LAve}_B f - q$$

and

$$\text{LAve}_B (\text{RAve}_A f - p) = \text{LAve}_B \text{RAve}_A f - p.$$

So for any  $x \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|p - q| &= |p - \text{RAve}_A \text{LAve}_B f(x) + \text{RAve}_A \text{LAve}_B f(x) - q| \\
&\leq |p - \text{RAve}_A \text{LAve}_B f(x)| + |\text{RAve}_A \text{LAve}_B f(x) - q| \\
&= |p - \text{LAve}_B \text{RAve}_A f(x)| + |\text{RAve}_A \text{LAve}_B f(x) - q| \\
&= |\text{LAve}_B (p - \text{RAve}_A f(x))| + |\text{RAve}_A (\text{LAve}_B f(x) - q)| \\
&\leq |p - \text{RAve}_A f(x)| + |\text{LAve}_B f(x) - q| \quad (\text{since } |\text{LAve}_B f| \leq |f| \text{ and } |\text{RAve}_B f| \leq |f|) \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

and  $p = q$ . Go figure.

**COROLLARY 1.7.** *For any  $f \in C(G)$  there is a unique number  $M(f)$  that is both a right and left mean.*

**THEOREM 1.8.** *The functional  $M$  on  $C(G)$  satisfies the following*

- (i)  $M$  is linear.
- (ii)  $Mf \geq 0$  if  $f \geq 0$ .
- (iii)  $M(1) = 1$ .
- (iv)  $M({}_a f) = M(f) = M(f_a)$  for each  $a \in G$ , where  ${}_a f(x) = f(ax)$  and  $f_a(x) = f(xa)$ .
- (v)  $M(f) > 0$  if  $f \geq 0$  but  $f \neq 0$ .
- (vi)  $M(\hat{f}) = M(f)$  where  $\hat{f}(x) = f(x^{-1})$  for each  $x \in G$ .

**PROOF.** We start by showing

$$(0.1) \quad M(\text{RAve}_F f) = M(f)$$

for each  $f \in C(G)$  and each  $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ . Suppose that  $M(f) = p$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$  is given to us then we can find  $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  such that

$$\|\text{LAve}_{F_0} f - p\|_\infty \leq \epsilon.$$

i.e.,

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F_0|} \sum_{b \in F_0} f(bx) - p \right| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in G$ . It follows that for any  $x \in G$  and  $a \in F$ ,

$$|\text{RAve}_F \text{LAve}_{F_0} f(x) - p| \leq \epsilon.$$

Since

$$\text{RAve}_F \text{LAve}_{F_0} f = \text{LAve}_{F_0} \text{RAve}_F f,$$

$p$  is a left mean of  $\text{RAve}_F f$ . Hence by our previous result,

$$M(\text{RAve}_F f) = p,$$

and

$$M(\text{RAve}_F f) = M(f).$$

To see that  $M$  is linear, let  $M(f) = p$  and  $M(h) = q$ . Pick  $H_0 \in \mathcal{F}(F)$  so that

$$\|\text{RAve}_{H_0} h - q\|_\infty \leq \epsilon.$$

i.e., for all  $x \in G$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{|H_0|} \sum_{b \in H_0} h(xb) - q \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

i.e., if  $E \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  and  $x \in G$  then

$$|\text{RAve}_{E \cdot H_0} h(x) - q| = |\text{RAve}_E \text{RAve}_{H_0} h(x) - q| < \epsilon.$$

Now

$$p = M(f) = M(\text{RAve}_F f)$$

for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore  $p$  is the right mean of  $\text{RAve}_{H_0} f$ . Hence there exists  $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  so that

$$\|\text{RAve}_{F_0} \text{RAve}_{H_0} f - p\| \leq \epsilon.$$

i.e., for all  $x \in G$ ,

$$|\text{RAve}_{F_0 \cdot H_0} f(x) - p| = |\text{RAve}_{F_0} \text{RAve}_{H_0} f(x) - p| \leq \epsilon.$$

Since we already know that for all  $x \in G$  and each  $E \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ ,

$$|\text{RAve}_{E \cdot H_0} h(x) - q| \leq \epsilon,$$

it follows that by taking  $E = F_0$  we get for each  $x \in G$ ,

$$|\text{RAve}_{F_0 \cdot H_0} (f + h)(x) - (p + q)| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Thus

$$M(f + h) = M(f) + M(h).$$

It follows from this and the easily established fact that  $M(kf) = kM(f)$  that  $M$  is linear, and we have shown (i).

Parts (ii) and (iii) are clear. To see (iv), since

$$(0.2) \quad \text{RAve}_F f(xa) = \text{RAve}_{a \cdot F} f(x),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M(f_a) &= M(\text{RAve}_F f_a(x)) \text{ (by (0.1))} \\
&= M(\text{RAve}_F f(xa)) \\
&= M(\text{RAve}_{a \cdot F} f(x)) \text{ (by (0.2))} \\
&= M(f) \text{ (by (0.1)).}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(0.3) \quad \text{LAve}_F f(ax) = \text{LAve}_{F \cdot a} f(x),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
M({}_a f) &= M(\text{LAve}_F ({}_a f(x))) \text{ (by (0.1) actually it's equivalent with left averages)} \\
&= M(\text{LAve}_F f(ax)) \\
&= M(\text{LAve}_{F \cdot a} f(x)) \text{ (by (0.3))} \\
&= M(f) \text{ (by (0.1) actually it's equivalent with left averages),}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$M({}_a f) = M(f) = M(f_a).$$

For (v), suppose that  $f \in C(G)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ ,  $f \not\equiv 0$ . Then there is  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $U = [f > \alpha]$  is non-empty and open; it's easy to see that  $\{U_{a^{-1}} : a \in G\}$  is an open cover of the compact  $G$ . (If  $x \in G$  then for any  $y \in G$ ,  $x = y(y^{-1}x) \in U(y^{-1}x) \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in G} U_{a^{-1}}$ .) It follows that for some  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in G$

$$G = U_{a_1^{-1}} \bigcup U_{a_2^{-1}} \bigcup \dots \bigcup U_{a_m^{-1}}.$$

Let's check to see how this plays out.

If  $x \in G$  then  $x \in U_{a_k^{-1}}$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . Hence,  $xa_k \in U$  and thus  $f(xa_k) > \alpha$ . It follows that

$$\text{RAve}_{\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}} f(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f(xa_i) > \frac{\alpha}{m},$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Therefore

$$0 < \frac{\alpha}{m} \leq M(\text{RAve}_{\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}} f) = M(f).$$

Almost done; we have but to show that  $M(f)$  and  $M(\hat{f})$  agree. To establish this, define

$$N(f) = M(f \circ \text{inv}),$$

where  $\text{inv} : G \rightarrow G$  is given by  $\text{inv}(x) = x^{-1}$ .  $N$  is a linear functional on  $C(G)$ ,  $N(f) \geq 0$  if  $f \geq 0$ , and  $N(1) = 1$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
N({}_a f) &= M(f_a \circ \text{inv}) \\
&= M({}_{a^{-1}} \hat{f}) \text{ (since } f_a \circ \text{inv}(x) = f_a(x^{-1}) = \hat{f}(a^{-1}x) = {}_{a^{-1}} f(x)) \\
&= M(\hat{f}) \text{ (by (iv))} \\
&= N(f).
\end{aligned}$$

But by Corollary 1.7, there is only one invariant mean on  $C(G)$  so  $N(f) = M(f)$ . □